3D Photoionization Modelling of the Bipolar Planetary Nebula NGC 2346



Carolina M. Carneiro & Denise R. Gonçalves Observatório do Valongo UFRJ, Brazil



The study of planetary nebulae (PNe) is extremely important in order to understand the evolution of low- and intermediate-mass stars. Photoionization codes intent to reproduce the interactions of the central star' radiation with the nebular gas. By using this tool, we are able to determine the physical properties of both: nebula and central star. About 70% of the PNe are ellipticals and bipolars and 20% have round morphologies. The reason why the PNe present so different morphological types is not well understood yet. A well accepted suggestion is that the binary central stars could be partially responsible for the bipolar shapes. Considering that there is only one 3D modelling of a bipolar PN (NGC 6302; Wright et al. 2011) and also because NGC 2346 has a binary system as central star, this PN seems to be a excellent candidate for the 3D detailed modelling.

NGC 2346 and MOCASSIN Code

NGC 2346

NGC 2346

MOCASSIN - Monte CArlo SimulationS of Ionized

CAPE



Hubble Heritage Image from Hubble Space Telescope Source: PNIC – Planetary Nebulae Image Catalog

This is a Type-I (Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994) bipolar PN of high excitation (Walsh 1983). Its dynamic age ranges between 3,500 and 4,700 years. It's central star is a single-lined spectroscopic binary (V651 Mon), with an orbital period of nearly 16 days.

Parameters from literature:

(i) Angular size: **3.1** x **0.75** arcmin²;

(ii) Distance (d): 500 4,735 pc ;

(iii) Luminosity: 14 - 6,839 L_o;

(iv) T_{off} : 60 - 150 kK.

Nebulae - is a 3D photoionization code developed to provide realistic models of nebulae with arbitrary geometries and density distributions (Ercolano et al. 2003).

Main input parameters: shape and intensity of the ionizing continuum, effective temperature, nebular composition and density distribution of the gas.

Main output parameters: nebular electron temperature and density, ionization stage of the elements, ionic and total abundances, central star's effective temperature and luminosity, and distance of both nebula and central star.

Results and Future Prospects

Here we present the results of the gas-only best Figure 1 model, considering the ionizing source as a blackbody, as well using an atmospheric model. **Input Parameters**



Conclusions

From the above results we see that there is no significant differences of using a blackbody or an atmospheric model as ionizing source. Although the agreement between the observed and modeled line intensities is quite reasonable, the $H\beta$



(iii) $T_{eff} = 130 \text{ kK}.$

(iv) He/H=0.09, $C/H=5.30x10^{-4}$, $N/H=1.20x10^{-4}$, $O/H=3.00 \times 10^{-4}$, $Ne/H=3.50 \times 10^{-5}$, $S/H=3.70 \times 10^{-6}$,



http://www.sc.eso.org/~rwesson/codes/mocassin/mocassin_gridmaker.php

and $Ar/H=2.74x10^{-7}$.							
The density distribution we used is shown in		lon		I _bb / I _obs	I_ATM /I_obs	$\sigma_{_{obs}}$	Refs.
distribution in Figure 2.		Hel λ4471		0.70	0.68	factor 2	K76
Figure 2 22 		Hel λ58	376	0.77	0.75	40%	S76
		Hell λ46	686	1.12	1.20	40%	K76
		[NII] λ5	755	0.74	0.83	40%	S76
18^{-1}	-	[NII] λ6	548	0.97	1.06	40%	S76
	-	[NII] λ6	584	0.98	1.07	20%	S76
		[OII]	726	2.43	2.67	20-30%	K76
		[OII]	729	1.22	1.37	20-30%	K76
ο 500 1000 1500 λ [Å]	2000	[OIII] λ4	363	1.23	1.42	factor 2	K76
I observed line intensities		[OIII] λ4	959	1.06	1.13	20%	K76
I modeled line intensities (blackbody) I modeled line intensities (atmospheric model)		[NeIII] λ3	8868	0.99	1.08	factor 2	K76
σ_{obs} : observed line intensity's errors		[SII] λ67	717	0.91	0.99	40%	S76
$ \begin{split} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{H}\beta)_{\rm obs} &= (4.70 \pm 1.05) \ \mathrm{x} \ 10^{-12} \ \mathrm{erg} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{H}\beta)_{\rm obs} &= 5.39 \ \mathrm{x} \ 10^{-11} \ \mathrm{erg} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1} \end{split} $		[SII] λ6731		1.15	1.25	40%	S76
$F(H\beta)_{mod_ATM} = 5.16 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$							Prospec
Diagnostic Line Ratios	Observations		Model_bb		Мос	Model_atm	
n _e (cm ⁻³):							portion
[SII] λ6717/λ6731	1.02 (600)		0.81 (1,300)		0.81	0.81 (1,300)	
[OII] λ3726/λ3729	0.88 (200)		1.73 (1,600)		1.71	1.71 (1,500)	
T _e (K):							Ercola Kaler,
[NII] (λ6584+λ6548)/λ5755	47.8 (14,100)		63.	4 (11,900)	61.3	(12,100)	Kings Sabba Su K
[OIII] (λ4959+λ5007)/λ4363	121.0	(12,000)	103	.1 (12,800)) 95.2	(13,200)	Walsh Wrigh

flux is, in both cases, overestimated. We are running new models to solve this discrepancy. So far, our results suggest that NGC 2346: i) presents a density stratification; ii) has a hot central star (130 kK); and iii) has typical PNe electron density and temperature ($n_{a} \sim 1,400 \text{ cm}^{-3}; T_{a} \sim 12,500 \text{ K}$).

Ionization structures of He and O



having problems to reach a satisfactory model including dust. Dealing with the dule of MOCASSIN appear to be a more difficult task than only model the gas of the nebula. However this is mandatory for NGC 2346, which dust component from the literature (Su et al. 2004). We hope to solve this issue soon, and test ts of dust in our models.

o, B. et al. 2003, MNRAS, 340, 1136 B. et al. 1976, ApJ, 203, 636 (K76) urgh, R. L. et al. 1994, MNRAS, 271,257 in, F. 1976, A&A, 52, 291 (S76) Y. L 2004, ApJS, 154,302 J. R. 1983, MNRAS, 202, 303 , N. J. et al 2011, MNRAS, 418, 370

Acknowledgement:

Thank you to the APN VI SOC and LOC and to the Observatório do Valongo for the financial support.

