



Laboratoire d'Étude du Rayonnement et de la Matière en Astrophysique

Antennae Arp 220

Françoise Combes

Cozumel, April 2016

Star formation and its triggers



Main Mechanisms

→Role of turbulence | ~100pc scale Role of feedback from SN, winds... ><0? At high z: thick disks, Clumpy galaxies Double agent: moderates and triggers

→ Role of bars and spirals in the SFR Dynamics at |~1kpc scale|

→ Role of violent events Interaction, mergers ~10kpc scale

→ Environment effects How SF may be triggered or quenched in groups and clusters ~100kpc scale





Star formation efficiency, SFE ~ $1/t_{dep}$



Compression due to spiral arms

Spurs of star formation



MW simulation

Renaud et al 2013

Kelvin-Helmholz

¥ GC

100 pc

Influence of density waves



The efficiency of SF is not constant over arms and rings **A way to find Corotation?** Meidt et al (2012)



Also found in barred galaxies (Reynaud & Downes 1999)



Bigiel et al 2008 Average over 7 galaxies Confirmed with 38 galaxies, Heracles, Things *Leroy et al 2013*



Disk simulations with H₂ & HI

αfb energy fraction for SN feedback χ UV radiation field, c_{*} SFE per free-fall time (*Krumholz et al 2009*)



Dynamics of the gas: turbulence

Kraljic et al 2014: variations of turbulence within the disk



Turbulence, at ~100pc scale

Solenoidal (**div v=0**) Compressive (**rot v=0**) Federrath et al 2008

Turbulence due to gravit. instabilities or feedback, etc..



Compressive forces, 0.1-1kpc scale

For a density in ρ (r) ~ r ^{-a}, the force per unit mass is in r ^{1-a}, thus attraction can increase with distance, if 0 < a < 1

→ tidal force is compressive F_{tid} ~ (1-a) r ^{-a}
 In particular, for a density=cst (rotation V in r ^{1-a/2})
 → nuclear starburst in galaxies

(Emsellem et al 2001, Wozniak et al 2003)

Bars and spiral arms



Combes et al 2013

The condition of starburst: **accumulating gas in a time short enough that feedback mechanisms have no time to regulate**

SF triggered by galaxy interactions

Very high impact, according to some simulations!



Numerical simulations use recipes, for the sub-grid physics Katz (1992), Mihos & Hernquist (1994, 96) Schmidt law with threshold, with exponent n=1.5

But not all IG have a starburst!

Results depends on disk stability



Without bulge, disk more unstable At the end, the same SFR



Interactions: observations



More SSFR in close pairs **5.3 increase in SSFR for low mass** (10⁸-10¹¹Mo) **and a factor of~2.1** for high mass (10¹¹-10¹³ Mo) Less in cluster environment

As seen in SDSS pairs by *Ellison et al 2008, Patton et al 2013* Until 150kpc separation

12

Scott & Kaviraj 2014

Also SF triggering by interaction at higher z : 0.1 < z < 0.6accompanied by asymmetries *Patton et al 2005*

At z=1.2 (COSMOS) more triggered SF in low-mass galaxies (*Ideue, Taniguchi et al 2012*)

Direct orbit merger gSb gSb

Retrograde orbits produce more starbursts



GALMER Di Matteo et al 07

Sbc

Sd

A high trigger in mergers is rare

<10% SF in z=0.6 major mergers for Massive Gal Robaina et al 2009

Gas flows IN and OUT

Retrograde keep more gas



100kpc size

Influence of interaction/merger





600

Time [Myr]

700

No impact at all Same SFR for iso

Same SFR for isolated galaxies Temperature floor?, EoS? Saturation?¹⁵

Perret, Renaud et al 2014

Minor mergers are important in global SF

Mass budget (Vmax corrected)



In ETG: 14% of the SFR today
LTG (Sb/Sc) 53%
ETG: SF due to minor mergers
→ 24% of SF in LTG is due to minor mergers



Fraction of the cosmic SF induced by minor mergers = 35%

Origin of the bulge and BH growth?

Kaviraj 2014

SF trigger minor merger (NGC 5387)

SF trigger in N5387, due to a dwarf merger *Beaton et al 2014*



17

Relative role of gas accretion and mergers



Analysis of results from a cosmological simulation with hydro: most of the SF is due to smooth flows

Dekel et al 2009



Fraction of mass acquired from **accretion 77% (mergers 23%)** until z=0 *Lhuillier et al 2011*

Starbursts: evolution with z



Star formation efficiency

and gas fraction

19

<z=1>/<z=0>= 2.1 /3.8 (hatched: with upper limits) 3.2 and 2.5 Both contribute, factor 3+1 increase between z=0 and 1 SFE should also be increased due to more violent dynamics Combes et al 2011, 2013

SFE for main sequence galaxies



Genzel et al 2014

Tacconi et al 2013

AGN-triggered? Minkowski object



HI: blue, H α : light blue, Radio cont: purple

Croft et al 2006

Very efficient jet-induced SF



Salome, Salome, Combes 2014

3C285/09.6



AGN-triggered star formation



Time [Myr]

Time [Myr]

Bieri, Dubois, Silk, Mamon 2015

4

Positive AGN feedback: Radio jets triggered SF

Young, restarted radio loud AGN 4C12.50

The outflow is located **100 pc from the nucleus** where the radio jet interacts with the ISM *Morganti et al 2013, Dasyra & Combes 2012*





Centaurus A with MUSE

Discovery of arcs perpendicular to the filament Halpha, [NII], [OIII] and [SII] lines

SF induced by shocks

3 arc streams perpendicular to the filament → backflow of the AGN jet

Hamer, Salome, Combes, Salome, 2014







 $\log(\Sigma_{H_2})$ [${M}_{\odot}.pc^{-2}$]

Virgo cluster: H α + [NII], Kenney et al 2008



Tidal streams in clusters: Virgo





Verdugo et al 2015

CONCLUSION

- → Star formation with SFE=cst, but above $\Sigma_{gas} = 9 M_{\odot}/pc^2$
- → Turbulence: solenoidal or compressive, supersonic ~100pc scale
- → Density waves, bars and spirals, gas compression
- → Trigger of galaxy interactions and mergers
- → Role of environment, AGN feedback (can be positive) Radio jets, cluster-wide interactions
 ~100kpc scale



~10kpc scale