## Spatially Resolved Star Formation Main Sequence of Galaxies



instituto de astronomía

Unam

Mariana Cano Díaz IA-UNAM

April 11th, 2016 Cozumel, Mexico





#### Scaling relations

The study of galaxies with large samples result in the derivation of statistical systematic studies.



# Star Formation Main Sequence (SFMS) Relation for Galaxies



Peng, et.al., 2010

#### The SFMS

It has shown to exist for SFR indicators in several wavlenghts (e.g. IR: Elbaz, et.al., 2011, radio: Karim, et.al. 2011), and at different redshifts (e.g. Speagle, et.al. 2014).



## General characteristics of the SFMS

The SFMS, defined in terms of the stellar mass and the SFR is considered to be linear of the form:

 $M_* = a + SFR*b$ 

Where the values of a and b may vary considerably:  $a \sim -(4-10)$  and  $b \sim 0.4-1$ .

However most recent studies have reduced this range e.g.:  $a \sim -(-6.78-7.64)$ and  $b \sim 0.71-0.77$  (See Zahid, et.al. 2012, Elbaz, et.al. 2007, Renzini & Peng, 2015).



Renzini & Peng, 2015

"Usual" classification of star-forming glaxies Methods rely on some observational caveats



With Integral Field Spectroscopy (IFS) we may be able to reduce some of these caveats





#### For this work:

#### CALIFA survey:

\* CALIFA sample: ~600 galaxies: 0.005 < z < 0.03. Homogeneous sample in morphology and inclination. Masses:  $10^{9.7} < M_* < 10^{11.4} M_{sun}$ .

\* 2.7 arcsec fibers: 3 dithering positions with a final 1 arcsec sampling.

\* Observing setup V500: R~850.

#### Our sample:

\* 306 galaxias with i  $<60^{\circ}$ 

\* Our sample comprises galaxies in a wider mass range, as we are using the "extended" CALIFA sample as well  $\sim 10^8 < M_* < 10^{12} M_{sun.}$ 

#### Data analysis:

\* Stellar masses and emission lines flux maps were derived with Pipe3D.

\* SFRs were derived through the Halpha emission, using Kennicutt 1998.

## SFMS for CALIFA

Previous results in the literature for the SFMS in CALIFA:



Slope: 0.66 +/- 0.18

Slope: 0.77

S.F. Sánchez, et.al., 2013

Catalán-Torrecilla, et.al., 2015

# Integrated SFMS in CALIFA

**AGN**: Galaxies that lie above the Kewely demarcation limit (KL) in The BPT diagram, and whose EW(Halpha) are > 6 Angstroms.

**Star-forming**: Galaxies that lie Below the KL, and whose EW(Halpha) are > 6 Angstroms. (S.F. Sánchez, et.al., 2014).

**Retired**: Galaxies whose EW(Halpha) are < 3 Angstroms. (Cid Fernandes, et.al., 2011).

**Unclassified**: Galaxies whose EW(Halpha) are (3 < EW < 6) Angstroms.

RGS = Retired Galaxies Sequence



Cano-Díaz, et.al.,2016 (accepted) in ApJL

### General Characteristics of the integrated SFMS and RGS

	SFMS	RGS
Slope	0.81 +/- 0.02	0.86 +/- 0.02
Zero Point [log(M <sub>Sun</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> )]	-8.34 +/- 0.19	-10.32 +/- 0.24
Standard Deviation (dex)	0.20	0.22

Cano-Díaz, et.al.,2016 (Accepted in ApJL)

Typical dispersions for the reported SFMS in the literature: ~0.2-0.35 (dex)

# Spatially Resolved SFMS in CALIFA

Star-forming: Regions that lie Below the KL, and whose EW(Halpha) are > 6 Angstroms. (S.F. Sánchez, et.al., 2014), Regardless of the position of Its host galaxy in the previous Plot.

11% of the points of this plot come From galaxies, whose global Ionization process is not dominated By SF.

We used 90,786 individual spectra.



Cano-Díaz, et.al.,2016 (Accepted in ApJL)

## General Characteristics of the Spatially-Resolved SFMS

	SFMS 100% data	SFMS 80% data
Slope	0.68 +/- 0.04	0.72 +/- 0.04
Zero Point [log(M <sub>Sun</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> Kpc <sup>-2</sup> )]	-7.63 +/- 0.34	-7.95 +/- 0.29
Standard Deviation (dex)	0.23	0.16
Cano-Díaz, et.al.,2016 (Accepted in ApJL)		We performed tests to try to find dependance with the total M <sub>*</sub> of the galaxies and found no substantial differences
The Standard Deviation for the integrated r	elation: 0.20 dex	

## Conclusions

Due to our ionization classification method we were able to highlight two trends in the integrated SFR-M<sub>\*</sub> diagram: the SFMS and the RGS.

We explored further the spatially resolved counterpart of the SFMS with the CALIFA survey, and found that a very similar relation holds for local (Kpc) scales.

This local relation suggests that indeed the SF processes are local and are related to the gravitational potential.

#### Future Work

- \* Does the spatially resolved SFMS has a dependance on: morphology or environment?
- \* Study the spatially resolved RGS.
- \* Use larger samples to confirm results.
- \* Study the spatially resolved SFMS at higher redshifts.

# Work in progress



Integrated	SFMS	RGS
Slope	0.77	0.98
Zero Point [log(M <sub>Sun</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> )]	-7.89	-11.68
Standard Deviation (dex)	0.33	0.54
Spatially Resolved	SFMS 100% data	SFMS 80% data
Slope	0.73	0.65
Zero Point [log(M <sub>Sun</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> Kpc <sup>-2</sup> )]	-8.19	-7.58
Standard Deviation (dex)	0.26	0.19