The most complete photometric analysis of CALIFA galaxies

The Interplay Between Local and Global Processes in Galaxies

Colleen Gilhuly

11 April 2016

Uniform, reliable, extensive photometry

Hall+2012

- SDSS products are known to be inadequate
- Walcher+2014 carefully covers CALIFA mother sample
- Aim to provide a more comprehensive catalog
  - Compare utility of parameters
  - Construct scaling relations
  - Partner with metallicity, dynamics, and other spectroscopic quantities

|	1D & 2D fits (Imfit, Erwin+2015)
SDSS DR10 *ugriz* profiles	Single Exponential
PA, *e*	Single Sérsic
M_i (total and extrapolated)	Exponential Bulge + Exponential Disk
g-r, g-i (extrapolated)	Sérsic Bulge + Exponential Disk
M_* (extrapolated)	Sérsic Bulge + Broken Exponential Disk
$M_{23.5}$, $R_{23.5}$	Favoured 1D model
R_e, μ_e	Favoured 2D model
C_{28}	
Gini coefficient	
M_{20}	

1D vs. 2D modelling

- **Magnitude- vs. intensity-weighted**
- Higher concentration -> favouring of inner region
- Correlation with independent parameter (HI line width) stronger for 1D (r=0.50 vs r=0.17)

Effective radii

Stellar Masses

Walcher+2014 (optical)

## González-Delgado+2015

Sánchez+2016

R$_{23.5}$ and R$_e$

N = 236
slope = 1.24
intercept = -1.7
scatter = 0.13
r = 0.603

N = 236
slope = 1.6
intercept = -2.8
scatter = 0.16
r = 0.359

Walcher+2014

N = 236
slope = 1.6
intercept = -2.8
scatter = 0.16
r = 0.367

CALIFA + Virgo CMR

CALIFA Tully-Fisher relation

Courteau+2007:
N = 1303
Slope = 0.29
σ(log W) = 0.05
r = 0.92

Bekeraité+2016:
N = 199
Slope = 0.33
σ(log W) = 0.03

Summary

- Similar to Walcher+2014 but significantly extended
- Importance of uniform measurements from multiple methods
- CALIFA-based photometric parameters likely biased by limited spatial extent
- Comprehensive catalog enables exploration and assessment of photometric quantities
- Compliments spectroscopic analyses