

The interplay between local and global processes in galaxies Cozumel, 11–15 April 2016



The Stellar Population of Local Galaxies

Rosa González Delgado Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), Spain

Enrique Pérez Ruben García Benito Clara Cortijo Ferrero Rafael López Fernández Roberto Cid Fernandes Eduardo Lacerda André Amorim



and Sebastian Sanchez (IP) of the CALIFA collaboration

Are global and/or local processes responsible of			
driving the evolution of galaxies?			
	<u>Global relations</u>	L	ocal relations
*	Mass-Metallicity	*	µ ≭- local Z
*	Mass-SFR	*	μ ≭− Σ_{sfr}
*	Mass-age	*	µ ∗- local age
Main	sequence of Star Formatic	on (MSSF)	

-ocal MSSF



Global MSSF

Sánchez & CALIFA DR3, 2016

González Delgado +, 2016

<u>Galaxies in 3D</u>

To dissect galaxies in space and time: 2D spatial and lookback time IFS surveys Fossil record method





Processing & Analysis pipelines



Cortijo Ferrero et al. 2016, in prep.



Properties of CALIFA sample

Mother sample
937 galx SDSS DR7
0.005 < z <0.03
45" < isoAr < 79.2"



sub-sample in SP studies

• ~430 galx with V500+V1200





2D maps of SFH: Radial x lookback time Mass formed at each epoch per pc² and Luminosity per pc²



Stellar mass surface density (μ *)- age Global relation Local relation

* SDSS: μ* - M*



' SDSS: M* - age



* CALIFA: μ * - age



González Delgado +, 2014, A&A, 562, 47

SFH in disks and spheroids Disks: μ * drives the ages (SFH) of galaxies Spheroids: M*

Stellar mass surface density (μ *)- Metallicity (Z*)



Local relation

- * CALIFA: μ* -Z* Chemical enrichment
- stDisks: μ regulates the metallicity, galaxy Mass modulates the amplitude
- * Spheroids: galaxy Mass dominates the physics of chemical enrichment (except for low mass galaxies) González Delgado et al. 2014b, ApJ, 791, L16



<u> μ *-intensity of the SFR: μ * - Σ_{SFR} </u>



Star formation along the Hubble sequence

Radial profiles: Σ_{SFR}



radial distance (HLR)

* Spirals: $\Sigma_{SFR}(1 \text{ HLR}) \sim 20 \text{ Msun Gyr}^{-1} \text{ pc}^{-2}$ * Spirals: the dispersion in $\Sigma_{SFR}(R)$ is small MSSF is a sequence with $\Sigma_{SFR} \sim \text{constant}$

Star formation rate density and the Scalo b birthrate parameter



- Most of the star formation is occurring in the disks of spirals (R> 1 HLR)
- The volume averaged birthrate parameter, $b' = 0.39 \pm 0.03$,
- present day Universe is forming stars at \sim 1/3 of its past average rate.
- E, SO, and the bulge of Sa and Sb contribute little to the recent SFR of the Universe, which is dominated by the disks of Sbc, Sc, and Sd spirals.

Star formation along the Hubble sequence Radial profiles: local sSFR = $\sum_{SFR}/\mu * = 7^{-1}$



Galaxies are quenched inside-out *sSFR(R) values scale with Hubble type *sSFR(R) increases radially outwards, with a steeper slope in the inner 1 HLR. *galaxies are quenched inside-out, and this process is faster in the central, bulge-dominated part than in the disks.

González Delgado +, 2016, A&A, arXiv160300874

Quenching related with the morphology

Radial profiles of age



• Galaxies of equal M*: have different galaxy averaged age, and radial age gradients.

- SFH and their radial variations are modulated primarily by galaxy morphology, and only secondarily M*.
- Galaxies are morphologically quenched, and the shutdown of star formation occurs outwards and earlier in galaxies with a large spheroid than in galaxies of later Hubble type.

Stellar Population properties along the Hubble sequence Radial profiles: ages



- declining profiles: galaxies are growing inside-out
- largest age gradient in MW type galaxies (Sbc)
- downsizing behavior is preserved with radial distance
- E and SO: no evidence of growing through minor dry mergers, no inversion of the (log age) toward older ages beyond 1-2 HLR

González Delgado et al. 2015, A&A, 581, 103

Stellar Population properties along the Hubble sequence

Radial profiles: stellar metallicity



- declining profiles, evidence of disks growing inside-out
- largest gradient in MW type galaxies (Sbc), as predicted by chemical evolution models (e.g. Molla & Díaz 2005)
- Sbc galaxies have a ▽ (logZ*)~ -0.1 [dex/HLR] similar to the predictions by RaDES simulations (Few et al. 2012; Pilkington et al. 2012a).
- later type: very flat, small ∇ in (log Z)M L
- dispersion in the ∇ in(log Z)M-M** relation is related with morphology
- E and SO: no evidence of a steepening of <log Z>M L beyond 1–2 HLR if they were growing through minor dry mergers

Mass assembly

<u>Galaxies grow inside-out</u>

Other evidence:

- Negative radial stellar age gradients.
- Negative metallicity gradients
- Galaxies are more compact in mass than in light
 HMR/HLR = Half Mass Radius / Half Light Radius





Pérez et al. 2013, ApJL, 764, L1

Stellar Population properties along the Hubble sequence Radial profiles: stellar mass surface density (μ *)



- declining profiles that scale with Hubble type
- largest inner gradient in spheroidals
- CALIFA E and SO galaxies have similar formation scenario: similar $\mu \star (R)$ and gradient

Stellar Population properties along the Hubble sequence Bulges and disks



• The mean stellar ages of disks and bulges are correlated; late spirals host younger disks.

- Bulges of SO and early type spirals are old and metal rich as the core of E's. They formed by similar processes, through mergers
- Late type spirals have younger bulges, and have larger contribution from secular evolution
- Disks are younger and more metal poor than bulges; evidence of the inside-out formation

<u>Conclusions</u>

* Hubble sequence is a useful scheme to organize galaxies by their spatially resolved stellar density, age, and metallicity.

* Spirals form a galaxy sequence with constant intensity of the SFR.

* Local processes are relevant in setting the SF in the disks of galaxies probably through a density dependence SFR law.

* Stellar mass sets the average properties of the stellar population in galaxies, but have little impact on quenching.

* Morphology plays the main role in the shut down of the star formation activity in galaxies.

*Pérez et al. 2013, ApJL, 764, L1
*Cid Fernandes et al. 2013, A&A, 557, 86
*Cid Fernandes et al. 2014, A&A, 561, 130
*González Delgado et al. 2014, A&A, 562, 47

*González Delgado et al. 2014, ApJL, 791, L16
*González Delgado et al. 2015, A&A, 581, 103.
*López Fernández et al. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 184
*González Delgado et al. 2016, A&A, arXiv:160300874