AGN feedback

Nadia Zakamska & Rachael Alexandroff Johns Hopkins University



AGN feedback

-[Mechanism of AGN feedback
-[Ionized gas
-[Extreme ionized gas outflows at high z
-[Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect
-[Quasar winds and radio emission



1. Mechanism of AGN feedback

On small scales: radiation pressure winds, jets
Slams into surrounding gas
Drive shocks, outflows into interstellar medium
Morphology of wind critically depends on ISM structure, not on input morphology!

Produces outflows ~ 1000 km/sec

Quasar needs to be luminous enough to push gas out of galaxy (>3e45 erg/sec, Veilleux et al. 2013, Zakamska & Greene 2014, King)



Murray et al. 1995, Proga et al. 2000 Equatorial disk winds



Gaibler et al., Begelman & Cioffi growth of jet cocoon

1. Mechanism of AGN feedback

On small scales: radiation pressure winds, jets
 Slams into surrounding gas
 Drive shocks, outflows into interstellar medium

Morphology of wind critically depends on ISM structure, not on input morphology!

Produces outflows ~ 1000 km/sec

Quasar needs to be luminous enough to push gas out of galaxy (>3e45 erg/sec, Veilleux et al. 2013, Zakamska & Greene 2014, King)



Wagner et al. 2013 Spherically symmetric models: King, Faucher-Giguere & Quataert: typical velocities at large scales of 1000 km/sec.

Gas at several kpc from the nucleus, ionized by the quasar should be observable

Focusing on radio-quiet type 2 quasars (no jets)

- Liu, Zakamska, et al. 2013ab, 2014 based on Gemini IFU data, L_{bol}>10⁴⁶ erg/sec
- Large widths, large asymmetries, on galaxy-wide scales
- If quasi-spherical outflow, then v~800 km/sec





Gas at several kpc from the nucleus, ionized by the quasar should be observable

Focusing on radio-quiet type 2 quasars (no jets)

Liu, Zakamska, et al. 2013ab, 2014 based on Gemini IFU data, L_{bol}>10⁴⁶ erg/sec

Large widths, large asymmetries, on galaxy-wide scales

If quasi-spherical outflow, then v~800 km/sec



- Gas at several kpc from the nucleus, ionized by the quasar should be observable
- Focusing on radio-quiet type 2 quasars (no jets)
- Liu, Zakamska, et al. 2013ab, 2014 based on Gemini IFU data, L_{bol}>10⁴⁶ erg/sec
- Large widths, large asymmetries, on galaxy-wide scales
- If quasi-spherical outflow, then v~800 km/sec



Now seen by several groups in type 1 and type 2 quasars (e.g., Harrison et al., Rupke & Veilleux, Husemann et al., Villar-Martin et al., Hainline et al., Alexander et al., Cano-Diaz et al., Carniani et al., Perna et al., etc.)



3. Extreme outflows at high z

- z>2: the peak galaxy formation epoch, perhaps key point in evolution of massive galaxies
- Population of red quasars at z=2.5 with unusual optical properties (Ross et al. 2015)
- NIR / rest-frame optical spectra
- Extreme broadening of emission lines
- Physical velocities of >3000 km/sec.
- Nothing like this seen at low z, perhaps a luminosity effect?



Zakamska, Hamann, Paris, et al. 2016

3. Extreme outflows at high z

- z>2: the peak galaxy formation epoch, perhaps key point in evolution of massive galaxies
- Population of red quasars at z=2.5 with unusual optical properties (Ross et al. 2015)
- NIR / rest-frame optical spectra
- Extreme broadening of emission lines
- Physical velocities of >3000 km/sec.
- Nothing like this seen at low z, perhaps a luminosity effect?



Zakamska, Hamann, Paris, et al. 2016

3. Extreme outflows at high z

Probing geometry of these outflows with spectropolarimetry observations

Poster by Rachael Alexandroff



Zakamska, Hamann, Paris, et al. 2016

4. Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect from quasar feedback

- Models predict invisible, low-density, extremely hot component
- **Overpressured bubble**
- Use ACT and Herschel data to construct stacked SEDs of 20,000 quasars
- Look for Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect

We have a detection!

$$P\mathrm{d}V = fL_{\mathrm{bol}}\tau$$

f=15% (tau=10⁸ years).



Crichton et al. 2016

4. Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect from quasar feedback

Models predict invisible, low-density, extremely hot component

Overpressured bubble

Use ACT and Herschel data to construct stacked SEDs of 20,000 quasars

Look for Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect

We have a detection!

$$P\mathrm{d}V = fL_{\mathrm{bol}}\tau$$

f=15% (tau=10⁸ years).



Crichton et al. 2016

Distribution of radio power is very broad

many (>5) orders of magnitude (faint end hard to probe)

Is it a smooth or a bi-modal function?

Is the mechanism of production of radio emission the same (just scaled up and down) or different?

Why do we care? – Is every black hole capable of producing a jet? Or are jet-producing BH special?



Ivezic et al. 2002 distribution of radio-to-optical ratios



What is the origin of the radio emission in RQ quasars?

Star formation insufficient by a factor of 10.



Zakamska et al. 2016

New evidence: Correlation between line width (=outflow velocity) and radio luminosity

These are "the 90%": faint point sources

Quasar-driven shocks accelerate particles, produce radio emission

Zubovas & King, Faucher-Giguere & Quataert, Jiang et al, also Stocke et al. 1992

Different from jets accelerating gas





Correlation between line width (=outflow velocity) and radio luminosity

These are "the 90%": faint point sources

Quasar-driven shocks accelerate particles, produce radio emission

Zubovas & King, Faucher-Giguere & Quataert, Jiang et al, also Stocke et al. 1992

Different from jets accelerating gas





Distinguish between compact jets and quasar winds?

- Combination of radio luminosity, morphology and spectral index can help
 - Steep spectrum, unresolved radio core and radio lobes imply
 - compact jets with episodes on scales of $\sim 10^7$ years
 - synchrotron emission from quasar winds



z = 0.3

"Teacup AGN" Harrison et al. 2015 z = 0.085



Hints of extension in the correlation between outflow velocity and radio luminosity to high redshift (z~2.5)

If you want to learn more come by poster 14!



Conclusions

Growing observational evidence for powerful, galaxywide quasar-driven winds

Radio-quiet objects (no powerful jets)

Ionized gas (including extreme kinematics, many x 1000 km/sec), molecular gas, bubbles, evidence for the volume-filling component!

We propose that radio emission in RQ quasars = biproduct of shocked winds

Effect of quasar feedback on star formation: talk by Dominika Wylezalek this afternoon





2. Observations: ionized gas bubbles



Winds look for the path of least resistance

In disk galaxies, expect them to "break out" perpendicular to galaxy plane

Have several candidates

Energy estimates using completely different methods: a few per cent (large uncertainty) of L_{bol} Liu, Zakamska, et al. 2013b



Greene, Zakamska, Smith 2012, Greene, Pooley, Zakamska, et al. 2014

2. Observations: ionized gas bubbles

Multi-phase winds:

{ hot, volume filling, invisible component

- cooler denser clumps (ionized, neutral, molecular)
- Ionized emission lines

Molecular – ALMA!

350 Msun/year, will deplete in 10⁶ years



F814W



Sun, Greene, Zakamska, Nesvadba 2014