ERRATA

In the paper "Star Formation History and Extinction in NGC 206" by T. Veltchev, P. Nedialkov, and G. R. Ivanov, published in RevMexAA, Vol. 35 (1999), pp. 13–23, there were some errata that do not affect the content of the work, but should be amended as follows:

• Page 13, ABSTRACT, line 9.

It is written: ... two OB associations outlined by Efremov, Efremov, Ivanov, & Nikolov (1987). To be read: ... two OB associations outlined by Efremov, Ivanov, & Nikolov (1987).

• Page 17, § 3.2. Theor. Approach, line 18.

It is written: ... wherea M_{down} is determined from photometry taking ... To be read: ... whereas M_{down} is determined from photometry taking ...

• Page 19, § 4.2. Correl. between..., line 16.

It is written: ... one obtains $R1 \sim 0$, $R5 \sim 0$, $RN1 \leq 1$, $RN5 \leq 1$. To be read: ... one obtains $R1 \sim 0$, $R5 \sim 0$, $RN9 \leq 1$, $RN5 \leq 1$.

• Page 21, § 4.3. Extinction in NGC 206, line 2.

It is written: ... E(B-V)=0.14 as not a bad approximation of reality. To be read: ... E(B-V)=0.12 as not a bad approximation of reality.