RADIAL VELOCITY STUDY OF THE DWARF NOVAE KT PER AND TZ PER

J. Echevarría, L. Pineda, and R. Costero

Instituto de Astronomía Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Received 1998 March 5; accepted 1999 August 10

RESUMEN

Se presentan medidas de velocidad radial de las novas enanas KT Per y TZ Per, con las que se mejoran las estimaciones de sus parámetros orbitales. Para KT Per, encontramos un período orbital de 0.16265777 ± 0.00000001 días y una semiamplitud $K_{em}=136\pm6$ km s⁻¹, mientras que para TZ Per se encuentran valores del período de 0.2629062 ± 0.0000008 días y una semiamplitud $K_{em}=107\pm3$ km s⁻¹.

ABSTRACT

We present radial velocity observations of the dwarf novae KT Per and TZ Per, that allow us to improve their orbital parameters. For KT Per we find an orbital period of 0.16265777 ± 0.00000001 days and a semi-amplitude $K_{em}=136\pm6$ km s⁻¹, while for TZ Per an orbital period of 0.2629062 ± 0.0000008 days and a semi-amplitude $K_{em}=107\pm3$ km s⁻¹ are found.

Key words: BINARIES: CLOSE — STARS: INDIVIDUAL: KT PER, TZ PER — STARS: NOVAE, CATACLYSMIC VARIABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

Dwarf Novae are a subclass of Cataclysmic Variables which have semidetached late-type secondary stars undergoing mass transfer onto a white-dwarf primary. Outbursts are frequent in these objects with timescales ranging from a few days to several weeks (see recent review by Warner 1995). The spectra of dwarf novae at minimum light or quiescence usually show strong, broad lines of H and HeI in emission, while during outburst the same lines are seen in shallow absorption. In this paper we report low-resolution observations of the dwarf novae KT Per and TZ Per.

First reports of KT Per by Romano (1962) and Löchel (1965) indicated a Z Cam sub-type variable with an outburst periodicity of about 12d. Later studies by Szkody & Mattei (1984) indicate and outburst periodicity between 19 and 37d with a mean of 26d. Sherrington & Jameson (1983) (IR) and Echevarría (1983) (UBV) estimate an orbital period between 5.78 and 7.2h based on the photometric colours, but Szkody and Mattei argue in favour of a period around 0.47, 3.46 and 3.7h based on the outburst, rise and decline lengths, respectively. The first radial velocity study for this object, by Rater-

ing, Bruch, & Diaz (1993) yield an orbital period around 3.9h. This is confirmed by Thorstensen & Ringwald (1997), who show that the period is more likely to be near 0.1627d, but with a possible period near 0.1618d.

TZ Per is a Z Cam sub-type dwarf nova early reported by Gaposschkin (1939) with an outburst periodicity of around 17d. Szkody & Mattei (1984) report an outburst interval between 4 and 27d with a mean of 17d. Echevarría (1983) predicts an orbital period between 6.33 and 7.9h, based on the *UBV* colours, while Szkody & Mattei (1984) estimate periods around 6.03, 6.03 and 3.70h based on the outburst, rise, and decline lengths, respectively. From a radial valocity study by Ringwald (1995) a period of 6.2520h is established.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The observations were obtained with the Boller & Chivens Spectrograph, attached to the 2.1-m Telescope at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional at San Pedro Mártir.

We observed KT Per during November 6, 1991 and TZ Per during November 6 to 8, 1991. We used a $600 \ \rm lines \ mm^{-1}$ grating near its blaze an-

 $\label{eq:table 1} \text{LOG OF OBSERVATIONS OF KT PER}$

	Date	Exp. T.	UT	ST			Exp. T.	UT	ST
Frame	- (UT)	(s)	(h m s)	(h m s)	Frame	(UT)	(s)	(h m s)	(h m s)
kt001	08 Nov 91	600	05 47 50	01 14 30	kt010 08	Nov 91	600	07 56 40	03 23 43
kt002	08 Nov 91	600	$05\ 58\ 05$	$01\ 24\ 47$	kt011 08	Nov 91	600	08 08 40	$03\ 35\ 45$
kt003	08 Nov 91	600	$06\ 09\ 20$	$01\ 36\ 04$	kt012 08	Nov 91	600	$08\ 19\ 05$	$03\ 46\ 22$
kt004	08 Nov 91	600	$06\ 40\ 25$	$02\ 07\ 14$	kt013 08	Nov 91	600	$08\ 31\ 05$	$03\ 58\ 14$
$\mathrm{kt}005$	08 Nov 91	600	$06\ 50\ 50$	$02\ 17\ 41$	kt014 08	Nov 91	600	08 41 10	$04\ 08\ 21$
kt006	08 Nov 91	600	$07\ 01\ 45$	$02\ 28\ 38$	kt015 08	Nov 91	600	$08\ 53\ 10$	$04\ 20\ 23$
kt007	08 Nov 91	600	$07\ 12\ 45$	$02\ 39\ 43$	kt016 08	Nov 91	600	09 03 30	$04\ 30\ 45$
kt008	08 Nov 91	600 .	$07\ 34\ 45$	$03\ 01\ 45$	kt017 08	Nov 91	600	$09\ 14\ 02$	04 41 17
kt009	08 Nov 91	600	$07\ 45\ 20$	$03\ 12\ 20$					

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE\ 2 \\ LOG\ OF\ OBSERVATIONS\ OF\ TZ\ PER \\ \end{tabular}$

			LOG O		10115	Jr IZ FER			
	Date	Exp. T	UT	ST		\mathbf{Date}	Exp. T.	UT	ST
Frame	(UT)	(s)	(h m s)	(h m s)	Frame	(UT)	(s)	(h m s)	(h m s)
tz001	05 Nov 91	600	05 40 10	00 50 15	tz034	07 Nov 91	600	07 16 30	02 39 06
tz002	05 Nov 91	600	$06\ 00\ 30$	01 18 34	tz035	07 Nov 91	600	07 27 10	$02\ 49\ 49$
tz003	05 Nov 91	600	$06\ 13\ 00$	01 31 17	tz036	07 Nov 91	600	$07\ 37\ 50$	$03\ 00\ 06$
tz004	05 Nov 91	600	$06\ 23\ 30$	01 41 38	tz037	07 Nov 91	600	$07\ 50\ 35$	$03\ 13\ 18$
tz005	05 Nov 91	600	$06\ 38\ 36$	01 56 57	tz038	07 Nov 91	600	$08\ 01\ 45$	$03\ 24\ 00$
tz007	05 Nov 91	600	$07\ 03\ 60$	$02\ 21\ 15$	tz039	07 Nov 91	600	08 13 15	$03\ 36\ 02$
tz009	05 Nov 91	600	$07 \ 30 \ 06$	$02\ 48\ 25$	tz040	07 Nov 91	600	$08\ 25\ 40$	$03\ 48\ 30$
tz010	05 Nov 91	600	$07\ 40\ 42$	$02\ 59\ 05$	tz041	07 Nov 91	600	$08\ 36\ 15$	$03\ 59\ 06$
tz011	05 Nov 91	600	$07\ 55\ 06$	03 13 31	tz042	07 Nov 91	600	$08\ 48\ 05$	$04\ 10\ 58$
tz012	05 Nov 91	600	$08\ 06\ 12$	$03\ 24\ 49$	tz043	07 Nov 91	600	09 00 50	$04\ 23\ 55$
tz013	05 Nov 91	600	$08\ 17\ 36$	$03\ 36\ 15$	tz044	07 Nov 91	600	09 12 40	$04\ 35\ 38$
tz014	05 Nov 91	600	$08\ 32\ 12$	$03\ 50\ 44$	tz045	07 Nov 91	600	$09\ 23\ 55$	$04\ 46\ 55$
tz015	05 Nov 91	600	$08\ 43\ 00$	04 01 35	tz046	07 Nov 91	600	$09\ 36\ 45$	$04\ 59\ 47$
tz016	05 Nov 91	600	$08\ 54\ 12$	$04\ 12\ 47$	tz047	07 Nov 91	600	$09\ 47\ 55$	$05\ 10\ 59$
tz017	05 Nov 91	600	$10\ 45\ 50$	$06\ 04\ 45$	tz048	07 Nov 91	600	$09\ 58\ 45$	$05\ 21\ 51$
tz018	05 Nov 91	600	$10\ 56\ 48$	$06\ 15\ 55$	tz049	07 Nov 91	600	$10\ 11\ 25$	$05 \ 34 \ 32$
tz019	05 Nov 91	600	11 08 60	$06\ 27\ 00$	tz050	07 Nov 91	600	10 22 33	$05\ 45\ 46$
tz020	07 Nov 91	600	$03\ 32\ 15$	$22\ 44\ 11$	tz051	07 Nov 91	600	10 33 15	$05\ 56\ 17$
tz021	07 Nov 91	600	$03\ 56\ 00$	23 18 11	tz052	07 Nov 91	600	10 46 15	$06\ 09\ 17$
tz022	07 Nov 91	600	$04\ 06\ 48$	$23\ 23\ 00$	tz053	07 Nov 91	600	10 57 15	06 20 40
tz023	07 Nov 91	600	$04\ 22\ 22$	$23\ 44\ 31$	tz054	07 Nov 91	600	$11\ 07\ 32$	06 31 10
tz024	07 Nov 91	600	$04\ 32\ 45$	$23\ 54\ 55$	tz056	08 Nov 91	600	$03\ 44\ 27$	$23\ 10\ 45$
tz025	07 Nov 91	600	$04\ 55\ 35$	00 17 50	tz057	08 Nov 91	600	$03\ 57\ 10$	$23\ 23\ 40$
tz026	07 Nov 91	600	$05\ 07\ 10$	$00\ 29\ 24$	tz058	08 Nov 91	600	04 09 00	$23\ 35\ 23$
tz027	07 Nov 91	600	$05\ 17\ 30$	00 39 46	tz059	08 Nov 91	600	04 19 15	$23\ 45\ 40$
tz029	07 Nov 91	600	$05\ 43\ 20$	01 05 40	tz060	08 Nov 91	600	$04\ 30\ 37$	$23\ 57\ 03$
tz031	07 Nov 91	600	06 07 00	$01\ 29\ 24$	tz061	08 Nov 91	600	04 44 46	00 11 15
tz032	07 Nov 91	600	06 18 20	01 40 46	tz062	08 Nov 91	600	$04\ 57\ 20$	$00\ 23\ 51$
tz033	07 Nov 91	600	06 29 05	01 51 33	tz063	08 Nov 91	600	05 08 59	00 35 30




Fig. 1. The mean spectrum of KT Per.

gle of 8°.63 with a 384 × 576, 23 μ m Thomson CCD to cover a spectral range from λ 4040 to λ 5100. We used a slit width of 120 μ m corresponding to 1.6 arcsec. The spectral resolution is around 3.9 Å. The log of observations are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The spectrophotometric standard stars HD 17520, HD 192281, and HD 212948 were observed and used to calibrate the stellar fluxes. The late-type spectral standard star, HR 1588, was also observed. The weather was clear for all observations and the seeing at the telescope was around one arcsec.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. KT Per

The mean spectra of KT Per, observed during a minimum, is shown in Figure 1. The object shows broad and strong H β , H γ , and H δ emission lines, plus weak He I lines (like $\lambda 4471$, $\lambda 4922$, $\lambda 5015$) and He II $\lambda 4686$ also in emission. The lines are in general double-peaked, but not always, with a dominant red peak present at several phases. We were unable to detect the absorption spectrum arising from the secondary star, and no cross-correlation with the K4III standard star produced any reasonable results.

We measured the $H\beta$ and $H\gamma$ Balmer lines using the method of Schneider & Young (1980), in which a positive and negative Gaussians at fixed width and separation are convolved with the spectral line, with the zero of this convolution taken as the velocity. We followed the method described by Shafter (1983), to minimize the σ/K_{em} ratio. The rvsao IRAF package was used for this purpose. The radial velocity results are shown in Table 3. The quality of the results for H β is much better since this line is stronger and our detector more efficient at this wavelength. The separation and width of the two Gaussians gave best results for a=17 Å and a width of 6 Å.

Ratering, Bruch, & Diaz (1993) (RBD93) and later Thorstensen & Ringwald (1997) (TR97), have measured the radial velocity of KT Per during guiescence and outburst. Our observations were obtained more than one year after the last published values, so an improved time baseline can be used to obtain better orbital parameters. A computer program to find the orbital parametrs of the binary was made. The program performs non-linear square fits with the Levenberg-Marquardt method, with a suitable iteration method to check for minimum internal x and external σ values. The program makes a detailed search for possible solutions covering a wide range of orbital periods. As a test we reproduced the Cases 1, 2, and 3 discussed by TR97 with identical results. We paid special attention to these cases to try to discard one of the period aliases. As a first step we combined only the H β data with those by RBD93. We adjusted the individual observing runs to a common zero γ velocity. We found four possible periods: 0.161825,

ECHEVARRÍA, PINEDA, & COSTERO

TABLE 3 $\label{eq:radial_velocities} \mbox{FOR KT PERa

$\frac{\rm HJD_{\odot}}{(2440000+)}$	${ m H}eta$	${ m H}\gamma$	$^{ m HJD_{\odot}}_{ m (2440000+)}$	${ m H}eta$	${ m H}\gamma$
8568.7496	- 3	161	8568.8390	-106	- 80
8568.7567	182	324	8568.8474	-95	-188
8568.7645	179	189	8568.8629	-95	7
8568.7933	191	196	8568.8699	-109	-86
8568.8009	116	103	8568.8783	-75	19
8568.8238	- 8	111	8568.8854	-147	54
8568.8311	-44	44	8568.8927	69	93

a In km s⁻¹.




Fig. 2. Radial velocity curve of KT Per as a function of orbital phase for three different orbital periods, discussed in the text. Solid and open dots correspond to the H β data obtained by Ratering, Bruch, & Diaz (1993) on 1989, October 10 and 11, respectively. Crosses correspond to H α observations by the same authors on 1989, October 12. Solid squares are H α observations by Thorstensen & Ringwald (1997) obtained during several nights on 1989, November. Open squares correspond to our H β observations obtained on 1991, November 10.

TABLE 4	
ORBITAL PARAMETERS OF KT PE	R

	$\gamma~({\rm km~s^{-1}})$	$K_{em}~({\rm km~s^{-1}})$	${ m HJD}_{\odot}~(2446643+)$	P_{orb} (days)	σ
Case B	$[-4.8] \pm 4.7$ $[-1.9] \pm 4.0$ $[-6.7] \pm 4.5$	128.6 ± 6.7 136.0 ± 5.7 127.0 ± 6.2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4867 \pm 0.0001 \\ 0.52042 \pm 0.00001 \\ 0.5802 \pm 0.0001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16272927 \pm 0.00000001 \\ 0.16265777 \pm 0.00000001 \\ 0.16185958 \pm 0.00000001 \end{array}$	50.2 42.2 47.7

0.161859, 0.162657, and 0.162727 days with a similar sigma value of about 42.7. Thus, with this data only, we are unable to distinguish between the possible periods. As a second step, we combined the H β and H α , of all authors. This includes the October 1989 results by RBD93 and the November 1989 results by TR97. The results are shown in Table 4 and in Fig. 2 for three different cases simliar to those of TR97.

We show in Figure 2 the radial velocity curve of KT Per, folded for three possible orbital periods. Case A (upper panel) has an orbital period similar to TR97 Case 1. The dispersion is higher than in the next two cases. In Case B (middle panel), we show the lowest dispersion solution. The period value is slightly different from the Case 2 in TR97.

For Case C (lower panel) most of the data are reasonably fitted, except the two velocities obtained at JD 7852 and an observation obtained during the H α October 1989 run (JD 7811.43151). However, this last point have neighbouring data which are well fitted; therefore, we consider this deviation due to observational errors. If we take out these three points the fit does not improve very much with respect to Case B ($\sigma = 49.62$). For these reasons, we believe that the best solution to the object is that for Case B with an orbital period of 0.16265777 \pm 0.00000001 days. This value is close to TR97 Case 2.

The semi-amplitude of the radial velocity curve, $K_{em}=136~{\rm km~s^{-1}}$, for our best solution is also similar to that obtained using the RBD93 October plus TR97 November observations with a period of




Fig. 3. The mean spectra of TZ Per for the three observing nights.

ECHEVARRÍA, PINEDA, & COSTERO




Fig. 4. The radial velocity curve of TZ Per. Open dots correspond to our observations during decline; stars, to data near maximum taken on October 16–20, 1991 by Ringwald (1995); and filled dots correspond to his observations near minimum light taken on October 26 and 27, 1991.

TABLE 5 $\label{eq:radial_velocities} \mbox{ RADIAL VELOCITIES FOR TZ PER$^a }$

$^{ m HJD_{\odot}}_{ m (2440000+)}$	$_{ m Heta}$	$^{ m HJD}_{\odot}$ (2440000+)	${ m H}eta$	${ m HJD}_{\odot} \ (2440000+)$	${ m H}eta$	$^{ m HJD}_{\odot}$ (2440000+)	${ m H}eta$
8566.74389	- 67	8567.81080	- 53	8566.96378	81	8567.93277	0
8566.75801	-62	8567.81821	-42	8566.97156	16	8567.94000	37
8566.76669	-104	8567.82562	- 84	8567.65507	6	8567.94744	71
8566.77398	- 91	8567.83447	-105	8567.67156	20	8567.95646	100
8566.78447	-132	8567.84223	-135	8567.67906	20	8567.96410	70
8566.80141	-112	856785021	-145	8567.68987	84	8567.97124	90
8566.82023	- 97	8567.85883	-140	8567.69709	49	8568.66355	-101
8566.82760	-120	8567.86618	-154	8567.71294	49	8568.67239	-168
8566.83760	-73	8567.87440	-161	8567.72099	28	8568.68060	-103
8566.84530	-58	8567.88326	-138	8567.72816	42	8568.68772	-117
8566.85322	-35	8567.89147	-108	8567.74610	96	8568.69561	-55
8566.86336	-47	8567.89929	- 13	8567.76254	68	8568.70544	-55
8566.87086	-31	8567.90820	-35	8567.77041	12	8568.71417	-31
8566.87864	- 47	8567.91584	-15	8567.77787	55	8568.72226	-11
8566.95616	51	8567.92348	- 3				

 $^{^{}a}$ In km s⁻¹.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~6\\ ORBITAL~PARAMETERS~OF~TZ~PER \end{tabular}$

	$\gamma~({\rm km~s^{-1}})$	$K_{em} \; (\mathrm{km} \; \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$\mathrm{HJD}_{\odot} \ (2448545+)$	P_{orb} (days)	σ
$H\beta$ (this paper) $H\beta$ (all data)		$107.03 \pm 5.34 107.57 \pm 3.49$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.199 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.31287 \pm 0.00005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26424 \pm 0.00001 \\ 0.2629062 \pm 0.0000008 \end{array}$	$26.4 \\ 24.4$

0.16264 days (see TR97, Table 2), regardless of our inclusion of outburst observations or combining different emission lines.

3.2. TZ Per

Figure 3 shows the mean spectrum for the three observing nights. We observed broad H lines in absorption, with narrow and strong emission cores. There is a very conspicuous absorption band from $\lambda 4540$ to $\lambda 4680$. This band is also seen in the spectrum by Bruch & Schimpke (1992) and its origin is unknown. It is possible that it consists mainly of a series of Fe I lines.

Our observations of TZ Per were done during the outburst cycle following that observed by Ringwald (1995), only 10 days after his observations. According to the AAVSO light curve shown in Ringwald (1995), the system was observed between V=13.0 and 13.5 mag. Minimum light occurred two days after our observations at V=13.8. Since TZ Per was at the time undergoing frequent outbursts, it was not possible to observe the system at quiescence and to detect the secondary star.

We measured the narrow emission core for $\mathrm{H}\alpha$ in a similar way to that described in the previous section. Since the emission core line is narrow, the separation and width of the two Gaussians gave best results for a=7 Å and a width of 6 Å. The separation of the fitted two Gaussians was best set around 3 Å. The results are shown in Table 5. Our data was tested first alone, with the results shown in Table 6.

The data was then combined with that of Ringwald (1995) to improve the time baseline. As in the case of KT Per, this was done after adjusting all observations to a common $\gamma=0$ velocity. The results are given also in Table 6 and Figure 4. The best orbital period, obtained with the combined data is 0.2629062 ± 0.0000008 days. This value is larger than that,reported by Ringwald (1995): 0.2605 ± 0.0004 days. Although we have combined quiescence and outburst observations, our own observations alone yield a larger period. The semi-amplitude of the radial velocity curve is, within the errors, compatible with that obtained by Ringwald (1995).

REFERENCES

Bruch, A., & Schimpke, T. 1992. A&AS, 93, 419
Echevarría, J. 1983, D. Phil. thesis, University of Sussex
Gaposschkin, S. 1939, HA, 108, No. 1
Löchel, K. 1965, MVS 3, H.3, 107
Ratering, C., Bruch, A., & Diaz, M. 1993, A&A, 268, 694
Romano, G. 1962, MmSAIt No. 123 (Padova Publ.), 33, f.1
Ringwald, F. A. 1995. MNRAS, 274, 127
Schneider, D. P., & Young, P. 1980, ApJ, 238, 946
Shafter, A. W. 1983, ApJ, 267, 222
Sherrington, M. R., & Jameson, R. F. 1983, MNRAS, 205, 265
Szkody, P., & Mattei, J. A. 1984, PASP, 96, 988
Thorstensen, J., & Ringwald, F. A. 1997, PASP, 109, 483
Warner, B. 1995, Cataclysmic Variable Stars (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)

Rafael Costero, Juan Echevarría, and Leopoldo Pineda: Instituto de Astronomía, UNAM, Apartado Postal 70-264, 04510 México, D.F., México (costero, jer, pineda@astroscu.unam.mx).