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#### Abstract

We present a photometric and spectroscopic study that helps to constrain the orbital period of the system V767 Cyg for the first time. From the analysis of the $V$ filter light curve besides of that for the radial velocity curve for different Balmer series lines, we find a most likely orbital period of $0.165 \pm 0.012$ days for the binary system. The data we present were obtained during two different observational campaigns.


## RESUMEN

Presentamos un estudio fotométrico y espectroscópico que ayuda a constreñir el periodo orbital del sistema V767 Cyg por primera vez. A partir del análisis de la curva de luz en el filtro $V$, además de la curva de velocidad radial para diferentes líneas de la serie de Balmer, encontramos un periodo orbital más probable de $0.165 \pm 0.012$ días para el sistema binario. Los datos que presentamos fueron obtenidos durante dos campañas de observación diferentes.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cataclysmic variable stars (CVs) are semidetached binary systems comprising an accreting white dwarf (WD), and a late-type main-sequence donor (Patterson 1984; Smith \& Dillon 1998). Around 1600 CVs have been now identified (Downes et al. 2005), of which more than 1400 systems have known orbital periods (Ritter \& Kolb 2003). The orbital periods of CVs typically range between $\approx 80 \mathrm{~min}$ and $\approx 10 \mathrm{~h}$, with only a few systems having shorter or longer periods.

The accretion rates of CVs are typically in the range $10^{-11}-10^{-8} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ (e.g. Howell et al. 2001). The strength of the magnetic field of the WD plays an important role in governing the accreting matter. If the magnetic field is weak, then mass transfer takes place via an accretion disc, with a luminosity enhancement at the outer edge of the disc, where the stream leaving the donor impacts the disc. In contrast to this, if the magnetic field is strong enough to suppress the formation of the disc, the accretion stream flows along the magnetic field lines, from the secondary star to the magnetics poles of

[^0]the WD. For moderate magnetic field strength, the transferred material may form a partial disc whose inner part is disrupted into accretion columns.

Around $74 \%$ of the known CVs are dwarf novae. Dwarf novae are very interesting and show complicated photometric behaviors. The brightness change is present over a wide range of time scales. The members of the U Gem class develop outbursts, where the brightness changes between 4 and 6 magnitudes, with recurrence times from 10 to 300 days; however, the WZ Sge class has a recurrence time of 10,000 days. Thus, the best studied dwarf nova are short period systems ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {orb }}<3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) for which the regular light oscillation with amplitudes as low as 0.5 mag are related to their orbital periods.

The binary system V767 Cyg (20:16:49.99 $+53: 12: 24.3)$ is a poorly studied cataclysmic variable. It was classified as a member of the SS Cyg class on the Downes, Webbink \& Shara (1997) catalog with magnitude $V=17.5$ in quiescent state; however, its orbital period remains unknown. Liu et al. (1999) reported a noisy spectrum with moderate Balmer emission. There are several alerts in the VSNET web page ${ }^{3}$ indicating the development of outbursts in this system. One of these events was

[^1]TABLE 1
LOG OF TIME-RESOLVED PHOTOMETRY.*

| Date (2015) | HJD Start + <br> 2457000 | Exp. Time <br> Number of <br> Integrations | Duration [h] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $90 \mathrm{~s} \times 264$ | 6.6 |
| 19/Jun | 192.82609 | 9.5 |  |
| 20/Jun | 193.86515 | $60 \mathrm{~s} \times 149$ | 2.5 |
| 21/Jun | 194.79584 | $90 \mathrm{~s} \times 160$ | 4.0 |
| 22/Jun | 195.78507 | $90 \mathrm{~s} \times 165$ | 4.1 |
| 23/Jun | 196.82184 | $90 \mathrm{~s} \times 129$ | 3.2 |

*Observations of V767 Cyg in the $V$ band.
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reported on June 172015 by Taichi Kato [vsnet alert 18748]. The information available from the VSNET web page reveals the absence of super humps in the light curve during previous eruptions.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1. Photometric Observations

Time-resolved photometry for V767 Cyg was obtained using the direct CCD imaging mode of the 0.84 m telescope of the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional at Sierra San Pedro Mártir (OAN SPM ${ }^{4}$ ) in México. We obtained a series of photometry in the $V$ broadband Johnson-Cousins filter with individual exposures ranging from 30 to 90 s covering five nights in June 2015, two days after the development of a normal outburst. The log of photometric observations is given in Table 1. Data reduction was performed using standard IRAF procedures. The images were bias-corrected and flat-fielded before aperture photometry was carried out. We used a photometric aperture radius of 2.0 times the PSF FWHM. The errors in the differential CCD photometry were estimated to be in the range from 0.02 to 0.05 mag , from the magnitude dispersion on the comparison field stars. We used the star TYC-3937-1571-1 in the field of view as reference star.

### 2.2. Spectroscopic Observations

We obtained long-slit spectroscopy of V767 Cyg during two observing runs separated by one year (April 2015 and May 2016) using the 2.12m telescope with the B\&Ch spectrograph at OAN SPM. 6.5 A resolution spectra with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio $\approx 20$ on the continuum were obtained with a $400 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{mm}$ grating to study the spectral energy distribution of the system, covering a broad wavelength range $(\approx 4000-7200 \AA$ ). Observations were made with a $1^{\prime \prime} .5$ slit, oriented in the

[^2]TABLE 2
LOG OF TIME-RESOLVED SPECTROSCOPY.*

| Date | HJD Start + <br> 2457000 | Exp. Time <br> Number of <br> Integrations | Duration [h] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $24 / \mathrm{Jun} / 2015$ | 197.81428 | $900 \mathrm{~s} \times 16$ | 4.0 |
| $25 / \mathrm{Jun} / 2015$ | 198.83325 | $900 \mathrm{~s} \times 14$ | 3.5 |
| $03 / \mathrm{Jul} / 2016$ | 572.74575 | $615 \mathrm{~s} \times 30$ | 5.2 |
| $04 / \mathrm{Jul} / 2016$ | 573.71591 | $615 \mathrm{~s} \times 31$ | 5.3 |
| $05 / \mathrm{Jul} / 2016$ | 574.75384 | $615 \mathrm{~s} \times 25$ | 4.3 |
| $06 / \mathrm{Jul} / 2016$ | 575.69394 | $615 \mathrm{~s} \times 30$ | 5.2 |

*Observations of V767 Cyg.
east-west direction. CuHeNeAr lamps were used every 60 min for wavelength calibration. The spectrograph flexions were checked with the night sky lines. The spectrophotometric standard B0+25d4655 was observed each night for flux calibration. We reduced the images using standard IRAF procedures. The $\log$ of spectroscopic observations is provided in Table 2.

## 3. DATA ANALYSIS

The main goal of this work is to constrain the orbital period of the binary V767 Cyg. We analyzed the light curve ( $V$ band), $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{H}_{\beta}, \mathrm{H}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{H}_{\delta}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\epsilon}$ radial velocity (RV) curves by means of Discrete Fourier transform (DFT), as implemented in Period04 software ${ }^{5}$, and a Lomb-Scargle Periodogram, which is designed to detect periodic signals in unevenly-spaced observations, (Lomb, 1976 \& Scargle, 1982) to back up the DFT results.

### 3.1. Photometric Results

During five consecutive nights of photometric observation, the system was gradually decreasing to its quiescence level at a rate of $\approx 0.1 \mathrm{mag}^{-1}$ during the first four days, and during the last day with a faster decline of $\approx 0.4 \mathrm{mag} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$, after a recent outburst reported by Taichi Kato with the vsnet alert 18748 on June 17.532 UT. We can see this photometric behavior in Panel (a) from Figure 1. We take into account the quiescence magnitude reported by Downes, Webbink \& Shara (1997) and we put all the data points on this level to be able to analyze them looking for periodicities. The result of this procedure is shown in Panel (b) of Figure 1.

[^3]

Fig. 1. (a) $V$ band light curve during an outburst decline. Night to night the development of super humps was not observed. (b) $V$ band light curve shifted to a quiescent magnitude, $V=17.5$. (c) Normalized power spectrum showing both Lomb-Scargle Periodogram (red dotted line) and DFT power spectrum (violet solid line) for all data points. (d) Folded light curve with $P_{1}$. The color figure can be viewed online.

The power spectrum obtained in two different ways is too noisy to reveal a true photometric orbital period. In Panel (c) of Figure 1 we show both the normalized DFT power spectrum and the LombScargle periodogram. The highest peak corresponds to a period $P_{1}=5.30 \mathrm{~h}$; the folded light curve is contaminated by extra modulations but it still shows a sinusoidal behavior, so it is not clear if this is the orbital period of the system because the power spectrum contains several frequencies of similar amplitude.

### 3.2. Spectroscopic Results

The averaged spectrum for V767 Cyg is presented in Figure 2. The upper panel corresponds to the 2015 observations and the lower panel shows the 2016 run. The features are consistent with a typical dwarf nova during outburst. In both cases the continuum can be well approximated by a function $F_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-\alpha}$, with $\alpha=2.5$. This spectral energy distribution is quite similar to that expected for an infinitely large steady state disc, i.e. $F_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-7 / 3}$ (Lynden-Bell 1969).

### 3.3. Radial Velocity and the Orbital Period

Our 2015 spectroscopic observations were performed one day after our photometry, so the system
was closer to its quiescent state. As we can see from Figure 2, during the 2016 spectroscopic campaing, the object was near its maximum light emission: the averaged spectrum shows an almost absence of emission lines.

Continuing with our purpose, we measured the Doppler shifts in the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ emission line and in the center of the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ line, for those spectra obtained during 2015, as well as in the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}, \mathrm{H}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{H}_{\delta}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\epsilon}$ absorption lines, for spectra obtained in 2016, to generate RV curves. During the spectroscopic observational campaigns, the object was in an eruptive state.

Due to spectrograph flexions, the spectral line positions presented a small displacement that was corrected by monitoring the night sky line $\lambda 5577.338$. After wavelength corrections were done, we carried out Doppler shift measurements. We measured the position of the lines in each spectrum, using the splot task from IRAF. We applied a single Gaussian curve to fit the line profiles.

We present the RV curve for $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ (2015 observational campaign) with its power spectra (normalized to compare DFT with a Lomb-Scargle periodogram) in Figure 3. The power spectra are less noisy than the photometric ones. The frequency of maximum amplitude corresponds to a period of $P_{2}=3.39 \mathrm{~h}$.


Fig. 2. Averaged spectra of V767 Cyg for the 2015 and 2016 observational campaign showing the outburst state. The upper spectrum was obtained one day after our photometric observations, while the system was still in outburst decline. The bottom spectrum was obtained near maximum light, shown by the almost absence of Balmer emission lines.

TABLE 3
RADIAL VELOCITY PARAMETERS

| Line ID | $\gamma$ <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s})$ | $K$ <br> $(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s})$ | Phase shift <br> $\phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ | 16.6 | 53.6 | 1.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ | 58.4 | 129.2 | 0.79 |

However, both power spectra also show several possible frequencies with similar amplitude. For the 3.39 h frequency, the RV curve is well fitted by a sinusoidal function. In this sense, the orbital period is more likely to be close to 3.4 h instead of 5.30 h , in congruence with the U Gem class of CVs. We obtained the same frequency by analyzing, in the same way, the RV curve for the center of the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ line.

We repeat the same RV curve treatment and analysis for the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}, \mathrm{H}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{H}_{\delta}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\epsilon}$ absorption lines using the spectra obtained during the 2016 campaign. The results obtained for the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ RV curve are shown in Figure 4. In this case, the frequency
of maximum amplitude corresponds to a period of $P_{3}=3.96 \mathrm{~h}$, but again, the power spectra show other frequencies of similar amplitude; especially present are the photometric and the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ frequencies.

Although we just show the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta} \mathrm{RV}$ curve, the results obtained by averaging other Balmer lines do not much improve our orbital period estimation for this observational campaign.

We carried out a non linear least-square fit to both spectroscopic data sets in the form of $\mathrm{RV}(t)=$ $\gamma+K \times \sin (2 \pi t / P+\phi)$, where $\gamma$ is the systemic velocity, $K$ is the semi-amplitude of RV, and $\phi$ is the zero-phase. The resulting values of $\gamma, K$ and $\phi$ are presented in Table 3.

In Figure 5 we present the three normalized power spectra mentioned above ( $V$-band photometry, $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ RV curves); we have marked $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$. In order to discriminate between the frequencies and derive the true orbital period, we applied the method described in Mennickent \& Tappert (2001) and in Mennickent et al. (2002). As they explain, we fitted both spectroscopic data sets


Fig. 3. (a) Normalized power spectrum for the RV curve showing a DFT and Lomb-Scargle periodogram; both contain the $P_{1}$ peak. (b) Folded RV curve with the frequency of maximum amplitude, $f_{H \alpha}=7.071 \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$. The color figure can be viewed online.
with a sine function corresponding to each maximum frequency and we measured the standard deviation $(\sigma)$ for each fit. From there we generated 1000 artificial data sets with the same dispersion and time distribution as the originals data sets. We applied a Monte Carlo simulation, adding a random value from an interval $\pm 3 \sigma$ to each data point of the fit function. Then we measured the highest frequency for each artificial data set, obtained after applying a Lomb-Scargle algorithm. The histograms for these values are shown in Figure 6. The peak at 6.058 d $^{-1}$ (vertical blue dotted line) shows the highest peak for both RV curves, so the period favored with this methodology is $P_{3}=3.96 \mathrm{~h}$.

This result is congruent with the inset of Figure 5, where we show both spectroscopic periods, indicated with vertical dashed lines. The amplitude observed in the power spectrum for the photometric data set (green solid line) is higher for the $P_{3}$ than for the $P_{2}$ frequency, that gave us an idea for the true orbital period for V767 Cyg.

From the above, we ruled out $P_{1}$ as the orbital period for V767 Cyg. In order to ensure that $P_{3}$ is the orbital period, we folded the $V$-light curve and both RV curves with $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ as shown in



Fig. 4. (a) Normalized power spectrum for the RV curve showing a DFT and Lomb-Scargle periodogram; both periodograms contain the $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ peaks. (b) Folded RV curve with the frequency of maximum amplitude, $f_{H \beta}=6.058 \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$, is well fitted by a sinusoidal function. The color figure can be viewed online.

Figure 7. The data show less dispersion and more coherence to the fit that corresponds to $P_{3}=3.96 \mathrm{~h}$. So we conclude that $P_{3}=3.96 \pm 0.29 \mathrm{~h}$ is the orbital period for V767 Cyg. The error corresponds to the FWHM of the maximum frequency for the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ line power spectrum.

## 4. DISCUSSION

According to the magnitude reported in the vsnet-alert 18748, during the 2015 event the system increased its brightens nearly 3 magnitudes, thus the system developed a normal outburst. This fact supports, in a good manner, our orbital period estimation because CVs with periods close to $\approx 4 \mathrm{~h}$ or longer do not exhibit superhumps. Such modulations are absent in the light curve. An advantage of the presence of superhumps in the light curve is the period excess relationship, which gives the mass ratio and other physical properties for the system.

However, according to Knigge et al. (2011), it is possible to obtain different physical properties from the orbital period of the CV. They updated the physical properties of the CVs by using systems that are


Fig. 5. Normalized power spectra. The color figure can be viewed online.
transiting and therefore their masses and semi-major axes are very well determined. From there, they obtained semi-empirical relations to find masses, radii, temperatures, and spectral types of both the white dwarf and the main-sequence star companion. We used the data from their Tables 6 and 8 to obtain the best physical parameters for V767 Cyg. Since those tables show only a portion of the full tables we used the full tables available online and we interpolated in order to find the best possibles values. These values are shown in Table 4, where the first row corresponds to the estimated value; the second and third rows are the errors for each physical parameter.

We obtained a mass ratio $\left(q=M_{2} / M_{1}\right)$ of 0.43 for the estimated orbital period, which is consistent with the previous classification of V767 Cyg as a SS Cyg dwarf nova, so V767 Cyg was not misclassified. The secondary mean density from Table 4 is $7.04{ }_{-1.31}^{+0.41} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.

The mean density of the secondary star is a parameter that can be estimated from the system's mass ratio $(q)$ and the orbital period $(P)$, by assuming that the star is filling its Roche Lobe, with a reasonable error of $\approx 5 \%$. According to Eggleton (1983), if a star fills its Roche lobe, its mean density
is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\rho\rangle=92.616 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{2} \cdot \frac{\left[0.6 q^{2 / 3}+\ln \left(1+q^{1 / 3}\right)\right]^{3}}{q(1+q) P^{2}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, the secondary star in V767 Cyg has a mean density of $7.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. This is in agreement with the value calculated from the best physical parameters listed in Table 4.

### 4.1. Uncertainty Propagation Estimation

Masses of WD in CVs range typically from 0.5 to $1.1 M_{\odot}$. In the absence of any plausible estimation for $M_{1}$, a representative WD mass in a typical CV is the mean value $M_{1}=0.75 M_{\odot}$. In the case of $M_{1}$, the uncertainty was taken from Patterson et al. (2005) (they used eclipsing binaries for their estimations). The statistical error of their calculations comes from the RMS of the mass distribution of WDs in their CVs sample, estimated as $0.16 M_{\odot}$. Also, Patterson et al. (2005) proposed a mass-radius relation for the secondaries where the estimated uncertainty for $M_{2}$ and $R_{2}$ is $25 \%$ and $8 \%$, respectively.

Additionally to these uncertainties, we calculated the uncertainty for $M_{2}$ and $R_{2}$ that arises from the uncertainty of the orbital period observed. To do this, we interpolated the track models presented in Knigge et al. (2011). To calculate the uncertainty

TABLE 4
COMPONENT PARAMETERS

| Period | $M_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ | $T$ eff |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Spectral | $M_{1}$ | $R_{1}$ | Teff $_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| h | $M_{\odot}$ | $R_{\odot}$ | K | type (2) | $M_{\odot}$ | $10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}$ | K |
| 3.96 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 3451 | M 3.4 | 0.75 | 7.85 | 24815 |
| +0.29 | +0.13 | +0.08 | +70 | +M 3.0 | +0.16 | +0.10 | +2378 |
| -0.29 | -0.13 | -0.07 | -55 | -M 3.7 | -0.16 | -0.07 | -2591 |



Fig. 6. Histogram of the maximum-peak frequency. Top, Monte Carlo simulation results for the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ RV curve. Bottom, Monte Carlo simulation results for the $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ RV curve. The color figure can be viewed online.
that appears in Table 4 we added both uncertainties, the one from the $M_{1}=0.75 M_{\odot}$ assumption and the one from the error in the orbital period.

For the rest of the parameters ( Teff $_{2}$, spectral type of $M_{2}, R_{1}$ and $T e f f_{1}$ ) we only propagated the uncertainty in the orbital period ( $3.96 \pm 0.29 \mathrm{~h}$ ) using the track models from Knigge et al. (2011) to calculate the corresponding upper and lower value that appears in Table 4.

## 5. CONCLUSION

For the binary V767 Cyg, classified as an SS Cyg system in the Downes catalogue, there were no previous data in the literature reporting the value of its orbital period. Taking this evidence as a starting point, we conducted observations in order to contribute to this measurement. We performed during 2015 and 2016, photometric and spectroscopic observations to constrain the value of its orbital period. In 2015, during the photometric run, the object was found to be in an eruptive state, which did not allow us to reliably determine the orbital period from the analysis of the light curve due to its complexity. The period we obtained from the light curve was 5.3 h . However, with spectroscopic observations obtained in the same observational campaign, we measured the Doppler shift in the emission line $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$, because the system was close to its quiescence state. The emission lines are produced in the optically thin accretion disk and reflect closely the orbital motion of the binary system. Analyzing the RV curve for the emission line we estimated a period of 3.39 h .

During the 2016 campaign, the system was again caught in the eruptive state, as suggested by the almost absence of emission lines; the object was close to its maximum brightness. We analyzed the RV curve with the absorption line $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ and we found a period of 3.96 h , slightly larger than that determined for the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ line. To discriminate between the possible frequencies and to determine the true orbital period we carried out a Monte Carlo simulation and a non-linear least squares fit to the three data sets to obtain the best coherence and lowest dispersion. We found that the photometric and spectroscopic data were best fitted with the period of $3.96 \pm 0.29 \mathrm{~h}$. The error corresponds to the FWHM of the maximum frequency of its power spectrum.

This article is based upon observations acquired at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional in the Sierra San Pedro Mártir (OAN-SPM), Baja California, México.


Fig. 7. Spectroscopic and photometric data fitted with both spectroscopic periods. The color figure can be viewed online.
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