RevMexAA (Serie de Conferencias), **12**, 257–257 (2002)

NEW LIGHT ON THE SEARCH FOR LOW-METALLICITY GALAXIES

G. Denicoló,[1] R. Terlevich,[1,2] and E. Terlevich[3,4]

In many cases the oxygen abundance can only be estimated using empirical methods such as R_{23} (Pagel et al. 1979), S_{23} (Vílchez & Esteban 1996) or S_{234} (Oey & Shields 2000). But in face of the weak points and limitations of each R_{23} and $S_{23(4)}$ methods, we understand that a more precise calibration with another method involving easily measurable lines, for a larger redshift range and with a monotonic low-dispersion relation, would be highly desirable.

In this work we have used the best available data to explore the usefulness of the N_2 abundance calibrator, where N_2 = [N II] λ6584/Hα (Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1994). The metal-rich galaxy sample includes data from Díaz et al. (2000a,b), Terlevich et al. (1991).

We have used the N_2 estimator to select low metallicity candidates from redshift survey quality data. We have found six metal-poor galaxies ($7.6 < 12 + \log \mathrm{O/H} < 8.0$) selected from the Durham/UKST Galaxy Redshift Survey (Ratcliffe et al. 1998). We find that metal-poor galaxies represent only a small fraction (0.2%) of the total observed emission line galaxies. Our next approach is to use the N_2 estimator in a large redshift survey of galaxies such as 2dF aiming to significantly increase the present sample of metal-poor galaxies and perhaps more importantly to study the cosmological abundance evolution. In an attempt to quantify the dispersion in the N_2 relation, we have studied the connection between the scatter of the N_2 parameter with the equivalent width of Hβ. We find a slight tendency for galaxies with positive residuals to have the largest EW(Hβ). We do not report any other trend of the residuals with EW(Hα) or with log([O II]/[O III]).



Fig. 1. The N_2 metallicity calibrator is defined as N_2 = [N II] λ6584/Hα. The linear fit to the data, taking into account the dispersion in the distribution, gives $12 + \log \mathrm{O/H} = 9.12 + 0.73 \log(N_2)$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.85.

This work is discussed more fully in Denicoló, Terlevich, & Terlevich (2002).

[1]Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK.
[2]Visiting Professor, INAOE, Puebla, Mexico.
[3]Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Eletrónica, Tonantzintla, Puebla, Mexico.
[4]Visiting Fellow, IoA, Cambridge.

REFERENCES

Díaz, A. I., & Pérez-Montero, E. 2000, MNRAS, 312, 130
Díaz, A. I., Castellanos, M., Terlevich, E., & Luisa García-Vargas, M. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 462
Oey, M. S., & Shields, J. C. 2000, ApJ, 539, 687
Pagel, B. E. J., Edmunds, M. G., Blackwell, D. E., Chun, M. S., & Smith, G. 1979, MNRAS, 189, 95
Ratcliffe, A., et al. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 417
Storchi-Bergmann, T., Calzetti, D., & Kinney, A. L. 1994, ApJ, 429, 572
Terlevich, R. J., Melnick, J., Masegosa, J., Moles, M., Copetti, M. V .F. 1991, A&A, 91, 285
Denicoló, G., Terlevich, R. J., & Terlevich, E. 2002, MNRAS, 330, 69
Vílchez, J. M., & Esteban, C. 1996, MNRAS, 280, 720