THE MHD COLAPSPAR MODEL FOR GRBS: AN INFLOW PRODUCES AN OUTFLOW
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RESUMEN

Presentamos resultados recientes de simulaciones numéricas de flujos magnetizados sobre agujeros negros, en el contexto del modelo de colapsares para destellos de rayos gama. Después de un episodio transitorio, el flujo se relaja a una configuración con distintas componentes, bien definidas y variables en el tiempo. Estas incluyen un toro de aceleración con una estructura coronal y un flujo hacia el exterior, así como un embudo polar con flujos tanto hacia radios más pequeños como más grandes. Nos enfocamos en la naturaleza de estas estructuras, así como en posibles conexiones entre ellas. Los efectos de la rotación y la magnetohidrodinámica lanzan, aceleran y coliman estos flujos. También vemos como un flujo hacia el exterior se puede formar aún cuando la envolvente que colapsa tenga un campo magnético inicialmente muy débil. Nuestra conclusión más importante es que aún para casos con rotación lenta y campos magnéticos poco intensos, el colapso gravitacional de una envolvente estelar puede producir un chorro muy rápido y muy intenso.

ABSTRACT

We present our recent results from numerical simulations of a magnetized flow in the vicinity of a black hole in the context of the collapsar model for GRBs. The simulations show that after an initial transient, the flow settles into a complex convolution of several distinct, time-dependent flow components including an accretion torus, its corona and outflow, an inflow and an outflow in the polar funnel. We focus on studying the nature and connection between these components, in particular between the inflows and related outflows. We find that rotational and MHD effects launch, accelerate, and sustain the outflows. We also find that an outflow can be formed even when the collapsing envelope has initially a very weak magnetic field and a very small angular momentum. Our main conclusion is that even for a relatively weak initial magnetic field and a slow rotation, a gravitational collapse of a stellar envelope can lead to formation of a very strong and very fast jet.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are associated with the huge release of energy in a matter of seconds. The collapsar model is one of the most promising scenarios to explain this and other properties of long-duration GRBs (Woosley 1993; Paczyński 1998; MacFadyen & Woosley 1999; Popham, Woosley, & Fryer 1999; MacFadyen, Woosley, & Heger 2001; Proga et al. 2003). In this scenario, the collapsed iron core of a massive star accretes gas at a high rate ($\sim 1 \, M_\odot \, \text{s}^{-1}$) producing a large neutrino flux, a powerful outflow, and consequently a burst of gammarays. Many breakthroughs were made in studying GRBs. For example, the association of long duration GRBs with stellar collapse was firmly confirmed (Hjorth et al. 2003; Stanek et al. 2003). However, several important questions still need to be answered. For example, what mechanism or mechanisms produce a powerful outflow? What force accelerates the outflow to ultra-relativistic velocities? What is the content of the outflow? How is the outflow energy converted to the radiation?

One could be quite surprised that after many years of studying, we do not know answers to such basic questions. But it should be emphasized that the physical conditions and geometry in the central engine and outflow are extreme and complex (e.g., gravitational and magnetic fields are very strong; the temperature and the mass and energy densities are very high; large scale supersonic/relativistic flows and small scale turbulent flows are physically connected). To study such a complex system, analytic models need to be supplemented by sophisticated, multi-dimensional time-dependent numerical simulations.
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To understand how the extraordinary amount of energy characteristic of GRBs can be extracted, we need to study properties of accretion disks because the released energy will ultimately come from the accretion of disk material or from the rotational energy of a accreting object. One possibility is that the disk energy is extracted by a magnetic field amplified by differential rotation up to very large values ($B \gtrsim 10^{15}$ G). A magnetically driven wind could then be emitted from the disk, with a fraction of the Poynting flux being eventually transferred to matter (Blandford & Payne 1982). Vlahakis, Peng, & Königl (2003) have applied this mechanism to GRBs using a semianalytic approach in the special relativity limit. In a different version of this idea, an early conversion of magnetic energy into thermal energy could occur through the reconnection of field lines above the plane of the disk in a region of rather low density (Narayan et al. 1992).

Magnetic extraction of the disk energy is very attractive because magnetic fields are very likely crucial for the existence of all accretion disks. The magneto-rotational instability (MRI) (Balbus & Hawley 1991; and earlier by Velikov 1959, and Chandrasekhar 1960) has been shown to be a very robust and universal mechanism to produce turbulence and the transport of angular momentum in disks at all radii (Balbus & Hawley 1998). It is therefore likely that magnetic fields control mass accretion inside the disk and play a key role in driving a disk outflow.

An alternative to accretion is to directly extract the rotational energy of from a black hole (BH) via the Blandford-Znajek effect (Blandford & Znajek 1977, hereafter the B-Z effect). The available energy then depends on the rotational parameter, $a$, of the BH and on the intensity of the magnetic field pervading the event horizon. If $B \leq 10^{15}$ G and $a \sim 1$, then the power available from the B-Z effect can be $> 10^{52}$ erg s$^{-1}$, with very limited contamination by baryons at the source because the field lines that guide the outflow are anchored to the BH.

Effects of magnetic fields in the context of GRBs have been studied by a few groups (e.g., Mizuno et al. 2004a; Mizuno, et al. 2004b; De Villiers, Staff, & Ouyed 2005). The main focus of these studies is on 2- and 3-dimensional general relativistic magnetohydrodynamic (GR MHD) simulations of jets launched self-consistently from accretion disks orbiting Schwarzschild or Kerr black holes. The main results from GR MHD simulations are: the accretion flow can launch energetic jets in the polar funnel region of the disk system; the flow can also produce a substantial coronal wind; the jet material can be accelerated to ultra-relativistic velocities by the Lorentz force in the polar funnel; the overall energetics of the jets are strongly dependent on the BH spin with, with high-spin black holes producing the highest energy and mass fluxes. Thus these are very important studies as they capture a few of the key elements of the central engine and soon may include more elements such as sophisticated equation of state and neutrino physics.

Here, we present and discuss results from 2.5-dimensional, magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of the collapsar model using pseudo-Newtonian potential. These simulations (see also Proga et al. 2003) are an extension of the work of Proga & Begelman (2003, hereafter PB03) who studied MHD accretion flows onto a black hole (BH). In particular, the collapsar simulations presented here include a realistic equation of state, photodisintegration of bound nuclei and cooling due to neutrino emission. These simulations are also an extension of collapsar simulations by MacFadyen & Woosley (1999), as they include very similar neutrino physics and initial conditions but are in the MHD instead hydrodynamical (HD) limit.

As we mentioned above, there have been 2- and 3-dimensional simulations of jets in the MHD and GR MHD limit. However, these studies were mostly for strongly rotating accretion flows set to be initially pressure/rotation supported torii. We focus here on simulations that attempt to mimic the conditions appropriate for presupernova stars, i.e., at large radii, the density distribution is spherically symmetric, angular momentum is small and latitude-dependent angular momentum, and the magnetic field is weak. The evolution of such MHD flows is not well explored and therefore it is worth to consider first the axisymmetric case before moving into fully 3-dimensional simulations. Based on previous theoretical work, one can anticipate the MHD accretion flow will launch a jet. But the main issue we address here is whether the jet, produced inside a collapsing star, can be strong enough to overcome supersonically infalling gas in the polar funnel and escape the star. The simulations performed by MacFadyen & Woosley (1999) showed that this can not happen in the HD limit. We also consider a question whether a rotationally supported torus or disk are necessary to produce an outflow.

2. MODELS

Proga et al.'s simulation begins after the 1.7 $M_\odot$ iron core of a 25 $M_\odot$ presupernova star has collapsed and follows the ensuing accretion of the 7 $M_\odot$ helium envelope onto the central black hole formed by the
collapsed iron core. A spherically symmetric progenitor model is assumed, but the symmetry is broken by the introduction of a small, latitude-dependent angular momentum and a weak split-monopole magnetic field. For more details, see Proga et al. (2003). We refer to the model presented in Proga et al. (2003) as run A. We will also present results from model B which is a rerun of model A with the numerical resolution increased by a factor of 2 in the latitudinal direction (see Section 3.2). Run B has also a higher resolution in the radial direction compared to run A; the resolution increase is a function of radius and is of a factor of 19 at the smallest radii and of a factor of 1.015 at the largest radii. Run B differs also from run A in the way we set up the initial conditions. However, despite these differences the gross properties of the solution during the later phase of the evolution are very similar for both runs. The details of run B are in Proga (2006).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Fiducial run

Figure 1 shows time sequence of logarithmic density (top) and toroidal magnetic field maps (bottom) overplotted with the direction of the poloidal velocity from run A during the early phase of the evolution. The figure illustrates how after a transient episode of infall, lasting about 0.13 s, the gas with $l' = r'/R_S$ and $z' = z/R_S$ starts to pile up outside the black hole and to form a thick torus bounded by a centrifugal barrier near the rotation axis. Soon after the torus forms (i.e., within a couple of orbital times at the inner edge), the magnetic field is amplified by shear and the magnetorotational instability (MRI, e.g., Balbus & Hal-
Fig. 2. The time evolution of the mass accretion rate (top left panel), total magnetic energy due to each of the three field components (top right panel), neutrino luminosity (bottom left panel) and area-integrated radial Poynting and kinetic flux in the polar outflow at $r = 190 R_S$ (bottom right panel) for run A. Formally, we define the polar outflow as the region where $v_r > 0$ and $\beta < 1$. Note the difference in the time range in the panel with the radial fluxes.

We note that the third possible mechanism to increase the magnetic field, compression is negligible here because the initial field as well as the infalling gas are radial (e.g., see the top right panel in Figure 2). The fast growth of the magnetic fields is exemplified by the growth of the toroidal field as shown in the bottom row of panels in Figure 1. We have verified that most of the inner torus is unstable to MRI, and that our simulations have enough resolution to resolve, albeit marginally, the fastest growing MRI mode (see also Section 3.2 for the presentation of the results from run B).

The magnetic field effects drive the time evolution of the torus including the mass accretion onto a BH. Another important effect of magnetic fields is that the torus produces a magnetized corona and an outflow (e.g., the middle panels in Figure 1). The presence of the corona and outflow is essential to the evolution of the inner flow at all times and the entire flow close to the rotational axis during the latter phase of the evolution. The outflow very quickly becomes sufficiently strong to overcome supersonically infalling gas (the radial Mach number in the polar funnel near the inner radius is $\sim 5$) and makes
its way outward, reaching the outer boundary at $t = 0.25$ s (see below and Figure 3). Due to limited computing time, run A was stopped at $t = 0.28215$ s, which corresponds to 6705 orbits of the flow near the inner boundary. We expect the accretion to continue much longer, roughly the collapse timescale of the Helium envelope ($\sim 10$ s), as in MacFadyen & Woosley (1999).

Figure 2 shows the time evolution of several gross properties run A: the mass accretion rate through the inner boundary (top left panel), total magnetic energy (top right panel), neutrino luminosity (bottom left panel) and radial Poynting and kinetic flux along the polar axis at $r = 190 R_S$ (bottom right panel). Unless otherwise stated, all quantities in this paper are in cgs units.

Initially, during a precollapse phase, $\dot{M}_a$ stays nearly constant at the level of $\sim 5 \times 10^{32}$ g s$^{-1}$. During this phase the zero-$l$ gas inside the initial helium envelope is accreted. Around $t = 0.13$ s, $\dot{M}_a$ rises sharply as the gas from the initial helium envelope reaches the inner boundary. However, this gas has non-zero $l$ and a rotational supported torus and its corona and outflow form (as illustrated in Figure 1), causing a drop in $\dot{M}_a$ after it reaches a maximum of $2 \times 10^{33}$ g s$^{-1}$ at $t = 0.145$ s.

The accretion rate reaches a minimum of $6 \times 10^{31}$ g s$^{-1}$ at $t \approx 0.182$ s and then fluctuates with a clear long-term increase. This increase is caused by the contribution from gas with $l < 2R_S c$, which is directly accreted (without need to transport $l$) from outside the main body of the torus (flow component C in Figure 5 exemplifies a low $l$ inflow outside the torus). The total mass and angular momentum accreted onto the BH during run A (0.3 s) are 0.1 $M_\odot$ and $3 \times 10^{39}$ g cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

The top left panel of Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the total magnetic energy (integrated over the entire computational domain). The late phase of the time evolution of the magnetic energy is characteristic of weakly magnetized rotating accretion flows. Most of the magnetic energy is due to the toroidal component of the field. We note a huge increase of the toroidal magnetic field coinciding with the formation and development of the torus. Both $B_\phi$ and $B_\theta$ are practically zero while $B_r$ is constant during the precollapse phase of the evolution. But at $t = 0.14$ s the total energy in $B_\phi$ equals that in $B_r$ and just 0.025 s later the $B_\phi$ energy is higher than the $B_r$ energy by a factor of 50. At the end of simulations the total kinetic energy from the radial, latitudinal and rotational motion are $4 \times 10^{50}$, $6.5 \times 10^{49}$, and $2.3 \times 10^{51}$ erg, respectively. These gross properties indicate that the magnetic energy is large enough to play an important role in the flow dynamics.

The bottom left panel of Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the neutrino luminosity, $L_\nu$. The neutrino luminosity was computed under the assumption that all the gas in the model is optically thin to neutrinos. The neutrino emission stays at a relatively constant level of $3 \times 10^{52}$ erg s$^{-1}$ after the torus forms. This indicates that the gross properties – such as the temperature, density, and total mass – of the densest and hottest parts of the flow (the torus) also stay constant during the later phase of the evolution.

The bottom right panel in Figure 2 shows the area-integrated radial fluxes of magnetic and kinetic energy at $r = 190 R_S$ inside the polar outflow. Formally, the polar outflow is defined as the region where $v_r > 0$ and $\beta < 1$. The Poynting fluxes, stays nearly constant at the level of $\sim 1 \times 10^{51}$ egr s$^{-1}$ between $t = 0.185$ s (the time when the jet reached the radius of $190 R_S$) and $t = 0.22$ s then fluctuates with a clear long-term decrease. Comparing the two fluxes, we conclude that the outflow is Poynting flux-dominated, with the Poynting flux exceeding the kinetic energy flux by up to an order of magnitude. We note that the radial fluxes continue to vary with time by a factor up to 10 even during the late phase of the evolution and they are anti-correlated with the mass accretion. The radial fluxes show much less detail compared to other properties shown in Figure 2 because there were computed less frequently during the course of the simulation.

The decrease of the radial fluxes and their anti-correlation with $\dot{M}_a$ is quite a surprising result because the jet is supposed to be powered by accretion! However, the situation in a collapsing star is complex because $\dot{M}_a$ has two sources: (i) an accretion disk which does power a strong jet and (ii) the low $l$ gas that accretes directly onto a BH and can prevent development of the torus jet. This infalling gas can produce an outflow/jet of its own but with properties different from those of the torus jet (see below).

Figure 3 shows maps of the flow pattern and maps of $B_\phi$ on four different length scales at $t = 0.285$ s (the left panels show the inner most part of the flow whereas the right panels show the flow on the largest scale). The main outflow is magnetically driven from the torus. Soon after the torus forms, the magnetic field very quickly deviates from its initial radial configuration due to MRI and shear (see Figure 2). This leads to fast growth of the toroidal
magnetic field as field lines wind up due to the differential rotation. As a result the toroidal field dominates over the poloidal field and the gradient of the former drives an outflow.

A comparison between the density and $B_\phi$ maps shows that the polar regions of low density and high $B_\phi$ coincide with the region of an outflow. Proga et al. (2003) noted that during the latter phase of the evolution not all of the material in the outflow originated in the innermost part of the torus – a significant part of the outflow is “peeled off” the infalling gas at large radii by the magnetic pressure. However, as our later analysis and simulations showed the outflow from the infalling gas is caused not only by the peeling off effect but it is also produced by the magnetic and thermal effects operating inside the infalling gas itself. Thus there are not two but three types of outflows from a collapsing star (see Figure 5).

The first two panels of the left hand side of Figure 3 illustrate that the inner torus and its corona and outflow cannot always prevent the low-$l$ gas from reaching the BH. Even the magnetic field cannot do it if the density of the incoming gas is too high (compare the upper and lower halves of the panels in Figures 3 and 5).

We finish the presentation of the results from run A with an analysis of the properties of the accretion torus, i.e., the main engine in the model. Figure 4 shows the radial profiles of several quantities in run A, angle-averaged over a small wedge near the equator (between $\theta = 86^\circ$ and $94^\circ$), and
Fig. 4. Radial profiles of various quantities from our run, time-averaged from 0.2629 through 0.2818 s. To construct each plot, we averaged the profiles over angle between $\theta = 86^\circ$ and $94^\circ$. The top left panel plots the density (solid line) and temperature (dashed line). The top middle panel plots the gas pressure (solid line) and magnetic pressure. The top right panel plots the rotational, radial, Keplerian, and Alfvén velocities (solid, dashed, dot-dashed, and dotted line, respectively), as well as the sound speed (triple-dot dashed line). The bottom left panel plots the angular velocity in units of $2c/R_s$. The bottom middle panel plots the Maxwell stress, $\alpha_{mag}$, and the Reynolds stress, $\alpha_{gas}$ (solid and dashed line, respectively). We calculate the Reynolds stress using eq. (15) in PB03 and show only its amplitude. The bottom right panel plots the radial, latitudinal and toroidal components of the magnetic field (dot-dashed, dashed, and solid line, respectively). The length scale is in units of the BH radius (i.e., $r' = r/R_s$).

time-averaged over 50 data files covering a period at the end of the simulations (from 0.2629 s through 0.2818 s). We indicate the location of the last stable circular orbit by the vertical dotted line in each panel.

We measure the Reynolds stress, $\alpha_{gas} \equiv \langle \rho v_r \delta v_\phi \rangle / P$, and the Maxwell stress normalized to the magnetic pressure, $\alpha_{mag} \equiv \langle 2B_r B_\phi / B^2 \rangle$. Note that Figure 4 shows only the magnitude, not the sign, of the normalized stresses. We find that except for $r \lesssim 2.5R_s$ and $10R_s \lesssim r \lesssim 12R_s$, the Maxwell stress dominates over the Reynolds stress in the inner flow. The last panel in Figure 4 shows that the toroidal component of the magnetic field is dominant for $r < 50 R_s$.

Proga et al.’s simulation explored a relatively conservative case as they allowed for neutrino emission but did not allow for the emitted neutrinos to interact with the gas or annihilate. The only sources of nonadiabatic heating in their simulation are the artificial viscosity and resistivity.

3.2. High resolution run

To explore the nature of the multi-component flow resulting from a collapse of a rotating star we rerun model A using higher numerical resolution (see Section 3.1 and Proga 2006). Higher resolution simulations are especially required to study the evolution and effects of MRI. Run B is qualitatively consistent with the results from the fiducial model. In particular, all the flow components found in run A can be also found in run B. Additionally, the properties of the flow components found in run A are consistent with those in run A. For example, MRI drives the evolution of the equatorial torus and the simulations resolve the fastest growing MRI mode.
Fig. 5. A map of logarithmic density overplotted with the direction of the poloidal velocity (left panel) and a contour map of specific angular momentum, $l$ (right panel) from high resolution run B at $t = 0.582$ s. The specific angular momentum is in units of $2R_S/c$. The minimum of $l$ (contour closest to the rotational z-axis) is 0.2, and the contour levels are equally spaced at intervals of $l = 0.2$. The maximum of $l$ is 1.0 and its contour is plotted using dotted curves, whereas all the other contours are plotted using solid curves. This figure shows an inner most part of the flow when a torus just formed and started to develop an outflow. Note that the latter pushed aside the polar funnel accretion flow only below the equator. This figure was chosen to illustrate the complexity of the inner most part of the flow inside a collapsing magnetized star. The upper case letters, in the right panel, mark seven major components of this complex flow: A – a very low $l$ polar funnel accretion flow; B – a highly magnetized outflow generated from a low $l$ inflow; C – an inflow with angular momentum so low that the flow would accrete directly onto a BH; D – a rotationally supported, MHD, turbulent accretion torus; E – a magnetized torus corona; F – a highly magnetized outflow generated from the torus; and G – a polar funnel outflow driven thermally (compare with A). See Proga (2005, in preparation) for more details.

Figure 5 shows the inner most part of the flow in run B at $t=0.582$ s. The seven main flow components are marked with upper case letters (see the figure caption).

In the context of GRBs, the most important component of the flow is an outflow. The biggest difference between the MHD collapsar model and the HD collapsar model considered by MacFadyen & Woosley (1999) is that in the MHD limit, the outflow that develops soon after a torus forms is so strong that it breaks through a star even when very little of a low $l$ gas is accreted whereas in the HD limit, a torus wind is relatively weak and the polar funnel must be evacuated if the wind were to leave the star.

3.3. An outflow from a very low $l$ inflow

Generally, runs A and B show that large-scale magnetic fields can produce two types of outflows: (1) a jet from a rotationally supported accretion disk or torus and (2) an outflow from extremely low angular momentum gas that almost radially accretes onto a BH (e.g., PB03; Proga et al. 2003). Both analytic and numerical studies support the view that the former is robust. (e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982; Blandford 1990; De Villiers et al. 2005). In fact, most MHD simulations of an accretion disk or torus show outflows (e.g., Uchida & Shibata 1985; Stone & Norman 1994; Hawley & Balbus 2002; De Villiers et al. 2005; Mizuno et al. 2004b; Kato, Mineshige,
Fig. 6. Maps of logarithmic density (left panel) and toroidal magnetic field (right panel) overplotted with an example of a streamline corresponding to an inflow/outflow for model C. The maps are also overplotted with the direction of the poloidal velocity and the direction of the poloidal field (the left and right panels, respectively). See Proga (2005) for more details.

In Proga (2005), we found that even with a very weak initial magnetic field, the flow settles into a configuration with four components: (i) an equatorial inflow, (ii) a bipolar outflow, (iii) a polar funnel outflow, and (iv) a polar funnel inflow. The second flow component of the MHD flow represents a simple yet robust example of a well-organized inflow/outflow solution to the problem of MHD jet formation (see Figure 6) and is the same in nature as components C and B in run B as well as in run A (e.g., Figure 5). The outflow from the low $l$ infall is heavy, highly magnetized, and driven by magnetic and centrifugal forces. A significant fraction of the total energy in the jet is carried out by a large scale magnetic field. The properties of this outflow help to understand that the time evolution of the outflow in run A. As we mentioned in Section 3.1, the low $l$ inflow can block or prevent development of the torus jet, the latter being light. The low $l$ inflow produces the outflow that is heavy and although a significant fraction of its total energy is carried out by a large scale magnetic field it is not Poynting flux-dominated, contrary to the torus jet.

A comparison between various simulations including those presented in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, where specific angular momentum was higher than that assumed in Proga (2005), indicates that the flow components B and C develop for a wide range of the properties of the flow near the equator and near the poles.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Fully 3 dimensional GR MHD simulations are required to capture many of the effects and instabilities of a magnetized fluid in a rotating star collapsing onto a BH. Neutrino physics, a sophisticated equation of state and self-gravity should also be included. However, such full treatment of the MHD collapsar model is beyond reach of the current numerical codes at least for now.
Here we present results from time-dependent two-dimensional MHD simulations of the collapsar model using pseudo-Newtonian potential. The simulations show that: 1) soon after the rotationally supported torus forms, the magnetic field very quickly starts deviating from purely radial due to MRI and shear. This leads to fast growth of the toroidal magnetic field as field lines wind up due to the torus rotation; 2) The toroidal field dominates over the poloidal field and the gradient of the former drives a torus outflow against supersonically accreting gas through the polar funnel; 3) The torus outflow is Poynting flux-dominated; 4) The torus outflow reaches the outer boundary of the computational domain ($5 \times 10^8$ cm) with an expansion velocity of 0.2 c; 5) The torus outflow is in a form of a relatively narrow jet (when the jet breaks through the outer boundary its half opening angle is $5^\circ$); 6) Most of the energy released during the accretion is in neutrinos, $L_\nu = 2 \times 10^{52}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Neutrino driving will increase the outflow energy (e.g., Fryer & Mészáros 2003, and references therein), but also could increase the mass loading of the outflow if the energy is deposited in the torus. A comparison of the MHD simulations with their HD counterparts show that a strong outflow breaks through a magnetized star much sooner than through a non-magnetized star.

The above conclusions were reached by Proga et al. (2003) and here we confirmed them using a higher resolution simulation. However, we emphasize the fact that the flow settles into a complex convolution of several distinct, time-dependent flow components and the above mentioned torus and its outflow are just two of them. Other flow components include a torus corona and low $l$ flows. A rotationally supported torus and its corona and outflows were extensively studied in the past and remain a focus of many studies. We stress that in the context of the collapsar model, where very low $l$ and high $l$ fluids are present, the situation is more complex. Therefore, future work, even without neutrino physics or effects of general relativity, is important to explore connection and interaction of all the flow components, and their observational implications.
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