Star-formation driven galactic winds in UGC 10043

CALIFA Image



unam


CALIFA Survey


Carlos López-Cobá¹, Sebastián F. Sánchez¹, T. Bitsakis¹ ¹Instituto de Astronomía-UNAM, México.

Red: Ha

Hubble Image Green: [O III] Blue: [S II] The whithe gantour is the detection limit of [NII]


We have discovered a galactic wind driven by a recent star formation in the nucleus of UGC 10043. This wind is described by a slow shock velocity model and a gradient in the electron density. The wind is detected up to \sim 3.5 kpc above the galactic disk. Due to the effect of inclination ($\sim 90^{\circ}$) we observe an apparent discrepancy between the starformation and the energy supplied by the wind.





Electron density map determined with the [SII] line ratio. Due to the high density toward the cones, we discard the source of the extraplanar emission as DIG emission, At the disk n_e ~ 200 cm⁻³

Diganostic diagrams for some line rations sensitve to ionizations sources together the shock models from MAPPINGS in orange. The extraplanar region is described for a wind with a velocity shock ranging in $\sim 100 - 400$ km s⁻¹ and electron density increasing toward the cones. The diagonal dotted line is the demarcation from shocks and AGN ionization according to Sharp & Bland-Hawthorn, 2010. The red dots are spatially located at the bicones while the blue dots are those located at the disk and bulge region.



The energy carried out by the outflow was estimated from the typical superwind models velocities in the range $v_w \sim 1000 - 3000$ km s⁻¹ (Hopkins, 2013) and a distance 3.5 kpc in : $E_{wind} = [4.5 - 13.6] \times 10^{41}$ erg s⁻¹. If we compare the injection rate predicted by SN and stellar winds due to star-formation (Veilleux et al 2005): $E = 7 \times 10^{41} \text{ SFR}/(M_{sun} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{ for the SFR}_{Halpa} = 0.38 \text{ M}_{sun} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{ we obtain an energy rate at } E = 2.6 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ which is } 2 - 5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ times lower than the energy required to produce the outflow if we use the SFR derived with Halpha, meanwhile if we use the SFR derived with IR (SFR ~ 1.56 M_{sun} yr⁻¹) we obtain $E = 11 \times 10^{41}$ erg s⁻¹ which is the required to support the outflow.