# The spatially-resolved mass assembly of MV-sized galaxies

Vladimir Avila-Reese Instituto de Astronomía, UNAM



P. Colín (IRyA-UNAM), A. González-Samaniego (UC-Irvine), H. Ibarra-Medel, S. Sánchez, Octavio Valenzuela (IA-UNAM)

#### Spatially-resolved archaeological mass growth histories (MGHs)

•MaNGA galaxies (Bundy+ 2015) analyzed with the fossil record software Pipe3D (Sanchez+2016).

• Ibarra-Medel+ (see Poster 6)

Downsizing

•MW-sized galaxies: clear inside-out mode



#### Spatially-resolved archaeological MGHs of MW-sized gals

Late-type gals have a more pronounced inside-out assembly mode than early-type gals.

Do the archaeological MGHs trace the intrinsic mass assembly of MW-sized gals?

(migration, mergers)



#### Why MW-sized gals are particularly interesting?

a) Star formation is most efficient in MW-sized halos



b) As a consequence of a), at MW scales the galaxy MGHs are the lest detached from their halo MGHs  $\Rightarrow$  the MGHs of MW-sized galaxies trace the cosmological dark matter halo MGHs.

Cosmological numerical simulations of MW-sized galaxies

•*N-body+ Hydrodynamics ART*: Adaptive Mesh Refinement code (*Kravtsov+ 1997; 2003*).

•Atomic, molecular, and metal cooling; Compton cooling/heating; UV heating from a cosmological background.



# Zoom-in simulations of "field" MW-sized halos

Select a particular halo and resimulate with high resolution + baryons (hydrodynamics)

+subgrid physics. A galaxy with DM, gas, and stars is formed in the cosmological context

Low-resolution N-body simulation of a cosmological box.



•Particle mass:  $10^6 h^{-1}M_{\odot}$ , 1.5-2 M particles. Spatial resolution of ~100  $h^{-1}pc$  (allowed up to 12 refinement levels).

**RESULTS:** General properties (Colín, A-R+ submitted)

#### Eight "field" galaxies in the 2-8x10<sup>10</sup> $M_{\odot}$ stellar mass range. Nearly flat rotation curves.





The disk-dominated gals are in agreement with the TF,  $R_e$ - $M_s$ ,  $f_{gas}$ - $M_s$ ,  $j_s$ - $M_s$  relations of disk gals. All agree with the semi-empirical  $M_s$ - $M_h$  relation.



## Spatially-resolved MGHs normalized to the z=0 masses

(Avila-Reese+, in prep.)

At each radial bin we calculate different MGHs:



1) In-situ MGH: accumulated mass as a function of time in stars formed in the given radial bin (it takes into account stellar mass losses).

2) Current MGH: accumulated mass as a function of time in stars <u>as measured</u> in <u>a given radial bin</u> (stars formed in situ + stars aggregated from outside - lost stars)

3) Archaeological MGH: cumulative age distribution of stars as measured at z=0 in a given radial bin (they are therein today but could have been formed in other place)

I) In-situ normalized MGHs at different radial bins defined at z=0 (in units of  $R_{1/2}$ ).

#### Outside-in formation.



2) Current normalized MGHs at different radial bins defined at z=0 (in units of  $R_{1/2}$ )

Outside-in formation. In-situ and current MGHs are similar for disk-dominated gals. For E gals, after the mergers the current MGHs tend to become homogenous.



#### 3) Archaeological normalized MGHs at different radial bins defined at z=0

#### Outside-in formation (less for E/S0 galaxies)



#### Current normalized MGHs at different radial bins defined at z=0 (in units of $R_{1/2}$ )

Outside-in formation. Archaeological and current MGHs are similar for disk-dominated gals. For E gals, after the mergers the current MGHs tend to become homogenous.



# Archaeological normalized MGHs: sims vs obs





# **Conclusions**

- Simulated disk-dominated MW-sized galaxies assemble their stellar mass *from inside to out.*
- The spatially-resolved MGHs measured for stars formed insitu, for all stars, and those inferred archaeologically (as from observations) are similar. Therefore, the effects of migration and ex situ star formation in the simulations are small.
- Spheroid-dominated MW-sized galaxies assemble from inside to out but after the merger(s) the radial MGHs tend to become more homogenous.
- The spatially-resolved MGHs of MW-sized disk galaxies inferred 1) by means of the fossil record method from MaNGA, and 2) by observations at different z's are in agreement with the simulations.

For S gals,  $M_s$  and  $M_{bar}$  follow  $M_{vir}$  since the last 7-10 Gyr

